# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

**JANI W SYKES** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 20A-UI-11692-DG-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**SELF-RELIANCE INC** 

Employer

OC: 06/28/20

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.27 – Voluntary Quitting – Part-time Employment

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the September 22, 2020, (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits, but did not charge its account. After due notice was issued, a hearing was set for hearing by telephone conference call on November 16, 2020. Employer responded to the hearing notice but no hearing was held.

## **ISSUE:**

Did the employer file an appeal from a favorable decision?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The employer filed an appeal from a favorable decision holding claimant was separated from a part-time job, but allowed benefits based upon other wages in the base period. The wages from this employer are removed from the claim until the claimant requalifies by earning ten times her weekly benefit amount in insured wages. This appeal was set for hearing in error.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the employer filed an appeal from a favorable decision and the appeal was set for hearing in error.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

# Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(4) provides:

(4) Report required. The claimant's statement and employer's statement must give detailed facts as to the specific reason for the claimant's discharge. Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. In cases where a suspension or disciplinary layoff exists, the claimant is considered as discharged, and the issue of misconduct shall be resolved.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(8) provides:

(8) Past acts of misconduct. While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of a current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act or acts. The termination of employment must be based on a current act.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(5) provides:

## Discharge for misconduct.

**(5)** *Trial period.* A dismissal, because of being physically unable to do the work, being not capable of doing the work assigned, not meeting the employer's standards, or having been hired on a trial period of employment and not being able to do the work shall not be issues of misconduct.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.27 provides:

Voluntary quit of part-time employment and requalification. An individual who voluntarily quits without good cause part-time employment and has not requalified for benefits following the voluntary quit of part-time employment, yet is otherwise monetarily eligible for benefits based on wages paid by the regular or other base period employers, shall not be disqualified for voluntarily quitting the part-time employment. The individual and the part-time employer which was voluntarily quit shall be notified on Form 655323,

Unemployment Insurance Decision, that benefit payments shall not be made which are based on the wages paid by the part-time employer and benefit charges shall not be assessed against the part-time employer's account; however, once the individual has met the requalification requirements following the voluntary quit without good cause of the part-time employer, the wages paid in the part-time employment shall be available for benefit payment purposes. For benefit charging purposes and as determined by the applicable requalification requirements, the wages paid by the part-time employer shall be transferred to the balancing account.

This rule is intended to implement lowa Code section 96.5(1)g.

The employer filed an appeal from a favorable decision on the above-referenced issue, which was set for hearing in error. The representative's decision has become final and remains in full force and effect.

### **DECISION:**

The September 22, 2020, (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The employer filed an appeal from a favorable decision, which was set for hearing in error. The representative's decision has become final and remains in full force and effect.

Duane L. Golden

Administrative Law Judge

Raul Z. Holdly

November 24, 2020

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/mh