# BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

JULEE A RUSSELL	· :	
	:	<b>HEARING NUMBER:</b> 11B-UI-02711
Claimant,	:	
	•	
and	:	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
	:	DECISION
T & D ONE CORP	:	
Employer		

Employer.

### NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-2-A

#### DECISION

## UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

John A. Pen	10	
Elizabeth L.	Seiser	

# DISSENTING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the
decision of the administrative law judge. I would find that the claimant lacks credibility, i.e., his
testimony is inconsistent as to the dates of the accident and the incident. In addition, the claimant's
witness did not actually see the fall. Even the doctor submitted forms indicating that the claimant's
injury was not work-related. Thus, I would conclude that her claim was fraudulent. Benefits should be
denied.

Monique F.	Kuester	

AMG/fnv