BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

MELODY J RISKEDAHL	:	
	•	HEARING NUMBER: 14B-UI-06174
Claimant,	:	
and	:	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
ACE INTERNATIONAL INC	:	DECISION

Employer.

ΝΟΤΙΟΕ

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-A, 96.3-7

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is AFFIRMED.

Kim D. Schmett

John M. Priester

DISSENTING OPINION OF ASHLEY R. KOOPMANS:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the administrative law judge's decision. I would find that the Claimant's payroll error was an isolated incident that didn't rise to legal definition of misconduct. As for the Claimant's final absence that led to her termination, the record shows that this absence was not due to personal reasons, which may have been considered unexcused, but was due to a miscommunication between the Employer and her. She believed in good faith that her new start time was 9:00 a.m. For this reason, I would conclude that the Employer failed to satisfy their burden of proof. Benefits should be allowed provided the Claimant is otherwise eligible.

Ashley R. Koopmans

AMG/fnv