

**BEFORE THE  
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
Lucas State Office Building  
Fourth floor  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319**

---

**JAMES D YEATER**

Claimant

and

**BETTER BASEMENT TECHNOLOGIES**

Employer

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**HEARING NUMBER: 16B-UI-00213**

**EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
DECISION**

**NOTICE**

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A **REHEARING REQUEST** shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-2-A, 96.3-7

**DECISION**

**UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED**

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board, one member dissenting, finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

---

Kim D. Schmett

---

James M. Strohman

**DISSENTING OPINION OF ASHLEY R. KOOPMANS:**

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the administrative law judge's decision. I would find that the final incident was not work-related, and occurred on the day the Claimant was not scheduled to work. Furthermore, the Claimant denied using drugs. For these reasons, I would conclude that the Claimant should be allowed benefits provided he is otherwise eligible.

---

Ashley R. Koopmans

AMG/fnv