IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

MICHAEL W SHAW

Claimant

APPEAL 22A-UI-18431-SN-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

KRAFT HEINZ FOODS CO LLC

Employer

OC: 09/18/22

Claimant: Appellant (2)

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a – Discharge for Misconduct

lowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Kraft Heinz Foods Company Inc., filed an appeal from the October 18, 2022, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that granted benefits based upon the conclusion she quit because working conditions were detrimental to you. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2022. The claimant, Michael W. Shaw, did not participate. The employer participated through Senior Human Resources Director Rodney Warhank. Official notice was taken of the agency records. No exhibits were admitted into the record.

ISSUES:

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct? Whether the claimant has been overpaid benefits? Whether he is excused from repaying those benefits due to the employer's inadequate participation?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The claimant worked for the employer as a full-time maintenance employee from April 12, 2021, until he separated from employment on September 16, 2022, when he quit. The claimant's regular schedule was from 6:00 p.m. on Sundays until 6:30 a.m. on Fridays, with the expectation that overtime could occur if needed. This was expressed in all maintenance employee contracts, which were the result of collective bargaining with a union in 2017. At the time of his separation, this contract was being renegotiated.

On August 6, 2022, the claimant was worked for eight hours and fifteen minutes. This shift was served on a Saturday.

On August 7, 2022, the claimant was worked for eight hours. This shift was served on a Sunday.

The claimant worked his regular 12 hour shifts for the next three days that week on August 8, 2022, August 9, 2022, and August 10, 2022. He was absent from work on August 12, 2022, and August 13, 2022. He took paid vacation for the following week from August 14, 2022, through August 19, 2022.

The claimant was not scheduled to work on Saturday, August 21, 2022, or August 22, 2022. He worked his regular twelve-hour shift for the next four days, August 22, 2022, August 23, 2022, August 24, 2022, and August 25, 2022.

On August 26, 2022, the claimant worked for eleven hours and forty-five minutes.

On August 27, 2022, the claimant worked for eight hours and fifteen minutes.

On August 28, 2022, the claimant was absent.

The claimant worked his regular twelve-hour shift for the next three days on August 29, 2022, August 30, 2022, and August 31, 2022.

The claimant worked for nine hours on September 1, 2022. He worked his regular shift twelve-hour shift on the following day, September 2, 2022.

On September 3, 2022, the claimant worked for eight hours and fifteen minutes. The claimant called in sick for the working days occurring on September 7, 2022, through September 14, 2022.

On September 15, 2022, the claimant worked his regularly occurring 12-hour shift.

On September 16, 2022, the claimant worked for four hours and forty-five minutes.

The claimant did not work the following weekend on September 4, 2022, and September 5, 2022.

On September 6, 2022, the claimant worked his regularly occurring 12-hour shift.

The claimant worked his regular twelve hour shifts for the next three days on August 8, 2022, August 9, 2022, and August 10, 2022.

On September 16, 2022, the claimant informed Mr. Warhank that he would be resigning effective immediately because another employee moved his toolbox. Mr. Warhank did not think to ask him about any additional circumstances relating to this dispute or this individual. The claimant made no mention of his concerns regarding working hours on that day or any preceding day.

The following section of the findings of facts displays information necessary to resolve the participation at factfinding issue:

The claimant filed for and received one weekly benefit amount of \$551.00 for the week ending September 24, 2022.

On September 26, 2022, lowa Workforce Development sent a notice of factfinding to the parties informing them of an interview on October 3, 2022 at 1:40 p.m. The claimant participated

personally and testified he quit due to working "seven days per week" and "12 hour days" for at least the three months prior to his separation. The claimant informed the factfinder he informed the employer of this work-related concern before quitting. The employer elected to participated by written statement. The written statement merely said the claimant quit. It did not provide any circumstances regarding his separation.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to work-related misconduct. The claimant is excused from repaying benefits because the employer did not provide a number with a witness to rebut his testimony at factfinding.

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

lowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25 provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (18) The claimant left because of a dislike of the shift worked.
- (21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. lowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980).

The record in this case demonstrates the claimant resigned, so it is his burden to show his reason for resignation was attributable to his employer. The claimant has not met that burden. At factfinding, the claimant stated he quit due to working long hours, but these long hours were part and parcel with his contract of hire, so this reason cannot be attributable to his employer.

The claimant's reason as stated by Mr. Warhank, is similarly not one that would be attributable to the employer. No reasonable employee would quit their position due to a one-off frustration about their toolbox being moved.

While claimant's leaving may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Benefits are denied.

The next issue is whether claimant has been overpaid benefits. lowa Code § 96.3(7)a-b, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6,

subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement lowa Code section 96.3(7) "b" as amended by 2008 lowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

The claimant filed for and received one weekly benefit amount of \$551.00 for the week ending September 24, 2022.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which he was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by claimant. The employer participated by written statement.

The law also states that an employer is to be charged if "the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits..." lowa Code § 96.3(7)(b)(1)(a). The employer's written statement did not include the claimant's reason for quitting. The employer also did not provide personal testimony to rebut the claimant's testimony, which was needed in this case. As a result, the administrative law judge finds the claimant did not adequately participate at factfinding.

DECISION:

The October 18, 2022, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is REVERSED. The claimant was quit without good cause attributable to his employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times he weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

The claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$551.00 but is not obligated to repay the agency those benefits. The employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview due to no fault of its own and its account shall not be charged. Rather, the overpayment should be charged to the fund.

Sign

Sean M. Nelson
Administrative Law Judge II
lowa Department of Inspections & Appeals
Administrative Hearings Division – UI Appeals Bureau

November 22, 2022
Decision Dated and Mailed

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at low a Code §17A.19, w hich is online at https://www.iowa.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf Or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowa.courts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de low a §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.