BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

	:	
WILLIE E HILL	:	
	:	HEARING NUMBER: 11B-UI-02345
Claimant,	:	
	:	
and	:	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
	:	DECISION
AMERISERVE INTERNATIONAL INC	:	

Employer.

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-A

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

John A. Peno

Elizabeth L. Seiser

DISSENTING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge. I would find the claimant clearly had attendance issues despite repeated warnings. As such, I would conclude that his behavior constituted a repeated disregard for the employer's interests that fits within the legal definition of misconduct. Benefits should be denied.

Monique F. Kuester

A portion of the employer's appeal to the Employment Appeal Board consisted of additional evidence which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the appeal and additional evidence were reviewed, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

Lastly, the Employment Appeal Board would correct the administrative law judge's Findings of Fact at p.2, third full paragraph, sentence 1 to reflect a written warning date of November 18, *2009*.

John A. Peno

Monique F. Kuester

Elizabeth L. Seiser

AMG/lms