# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**RONI THORNE** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 12A-UI-13743-BT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

CASEY'S MARKETING COMPANY CASEY'S GENERAL STORES

Employer

OC: 10/14/12

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit 871 IAC 24.25(4) - Voluntary Quit Without Good Cause Iowa Code § 96.5(2)(a) - Discharge for Misconduct

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Roni Thorne (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated November 7, 2012, reference 01, which held that she was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because she voluntarily quit her employment with Casey's Marketing Company (employer) without good cause attributable to the employer. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on December 18, 2012. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Manager Teresa Golden. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

## ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's voluntary separation from employment qualifies her to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired as a full-time cashier on August 22, 2009 and was promoted to a second assistant manager around August 2011. She requested to go part-time in September 2012 and the manager approved the request. The claimant requested time off without pay from October 6, 2012 through October 12, 2012 because she wanted to go on the road with her boyfriend. The time off request was granted and the claimant was scheduled to return to work on October 13, 2012.

The claimant called the employer on October 11, 2012 to report she would not make it back to work on October 13, 2012 or October 14, 2012. She spoke with the manager and the manager told her that she was next scheduled on October 17, 18, and 19. The claimant was a no-call/no-show for the last three work days and was considered to have voluntarily quit in accordance with company policy.

The claimant contends that the manager told her she did not have a job if she did not return to work on October 13, 2012. However, the manager denies this claim and there were two witnesses that heard what the manager said to the claimant in that phone call. The manager also said that if the claimant believed she no longer had a job, all she had to do was call the manager to say she wanted to work and she could have returned to work.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The issue is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if she voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.5-1.

Rule 871 IAC 24.25 provides that, in general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980) and *Peck v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992). The claimant demonstrated her intent to quit and acted to carry it out by failing to return to work after October 5, 2012.

# 871 IAC 24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

The claimant was deemed a voluntary quit on October 19, 2012 after three days of no-call/no-show. She contends that she believed she was fired when she failed to return to work on October 13, 2012. Where an individual mistakenly believes that she is discharged and discontinues coming to work (but was never told she was discharged), the separation is a voluntary quit without cause attributable to the employer. *LaGrange v. lowa Department of Job Service*, (Unpublished lowa Appeals 1984).

It is the claimant's burden to prove that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify her. Iowa Code § 96.6-2. She failed to meet that burden and benefits are therefore denied.

In the alternative, the separation could also be characterized as a discharge, in which case, the employer has the burden to prove the discharged employee is disqualified for benefits due to work-related misconduct. *Sallis v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 437 N.W.2d 895, 896 (Iowa 1989).

The claimant's five unexcused absences show a willful or wanton disregard of the standard of behavior the employer has the right to expect from an employee, as well as an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests and of the employee's and obligations to the employer. Work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law has

also been established and benefits are denied.

#### **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated November 7, 2012, reference 01, is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

\_\_\_\_

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/pjs