IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

JANE R REMLEY

Claimant

APPEAL 18A-UI-05856-NM-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

NORDSTROM INC

Employer

OC: 04/22/18

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the May 21, 2018, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon her voluntary quit. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 11, 2018. Claimant participated and testified. Witnesses Les Akers and Brenda Brinyark also participated on behalf of the claimant. Employer participated through hearing representative Thomas Kuiper and human resource coordinator Breanna Jacobs. Employer's Exhibit 1 was received into evidence.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for employer on May 2, 2017. Claimant last worked as a full-time returns inspector. Claimant was separated from employment on November 20, 2017, when she voluntarily quit.

Prior to separating from employment claimant was on a medical leave of absence for blood clots. In November 2017 claimant was released to return to work, but only for eight hours a day. Claimant knew the employees in her position were required to work mandatory overtime at this time, which she was unable to do. Claimant therefore called the employer on November 20 and left a voicemail requesting to work only weekends due to some issues in her personal life. Members of management made several attempts to connect with the claimant to discuss the situation, but kept missing each other's phone calls. Because claimant was never able to connect with a live person, she did not notify anyone of her eight hour a day work restriction, nor did she advise anyone she would have to resign if she was required to work more than eight hours per day.

On December 28, 2017 the employer received a written statement from claimant indicating she had resigned in November because she was moving to a different city. (Exhibit 1). Claimant

testified she had gotten a job offer from an employer in Waterloo, Iowa Sports Center, and moved from Cedar Rapids to Waterloo to accept that job. According to claimant she worked for that employer for approximately three weeks before she was separated. The owner of that business, Les Akers, testified claimant was separated after three days of work in October 2017. There are no wage records for claimant at this employer, as she was paid in cash or via a vendor check.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(2) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(2) The claimant moved to a different locality.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- a. The individual left employment in good faith for the sole purpose of accepting other or better employment, which the individual did accept, and the individual performed services in the new employment. Benefits relating to wage credits earned with the employer that the individual has left shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding § 96.8, subsection 5.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.28(5) provides:

Voluntary quit requalifications and previously adjudicated voluntary quit issues.

(5) The claimant shall be eligible for benefits even though the claimant voluntarily quit if the claimant left for the sole purpose of accepting an offer of other or better employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment. The employment does not have to be covered employment and does not include self-employment.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-23.43(5) provides:

(5) Sole purpose. The claimant shall be eligible for benefits even though the claimant voluntarily quit if the claimant left for the sole purpose of accepting an offer of other or better employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment. No charge shall accrue to the account of the former voluntarily quit employer.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980).

Claimant testified she left employment with this employer for a variety of reasons. One of those reasons was because she had accepted other employment in Waterloo and was therefore moving to Waterloo. Claimant also testified, however, that she left this employer because she was worried about how her continued employment with this employer would affect the medical condition for which she was on leave. As claimant did not leave for the sole purpose of accepting other employment, she does not qualify for benefits under lowa Code § 96.5(1)a.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1)d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:
- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (Iowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that:

"Insofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." White v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (Iowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (Iowa 1983)).

In 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005).

Claimant decided to resign her position after she was released to return to work for up to eight hours a day because she believed she would be required to work mandatory overtime. Claimant did not speak to the employer about possible accommodations prior to her resigning, nor did she inform the employer that her medical condition was the reason she was resigning. Claimant has not established that the medical condition was work related or that treating medical personnel advised her to quit the job, as is her burden. Nor did she request accommodation from the employer before quitting. While claimant's leaving may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The May 21, 2018, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. If claimant believes she has earned ten times her weekly benefit amount from an insured employer, she should provide documentation of those wages to her local lowa Workforce Development office.

Nicole Merrill
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

nm/rvs