BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

BEJA A CHRISTMAS	:	
Claimant,	:	HEARING NUMBER: 13B-UI-00864
and	:	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
CALVIN COMMUNITY	:	DECISION

Employer.

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-A

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

John A. Peno

Cloyd (Robby) Robinson

DISSENTING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

I respectfully dissent from the decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge in its entirety. I would find that the claimant was well aware that her cell phone usage on the job was a violation of company policy. I would also point out that while I found the employer's testimony more credible than that of the claimant, it is always more beneficial to the employer to provide any witnesses at the hearing who had firsthand knowledge of the actual incident that lead to the termination. Based on this record, I would deny benefits.

Monique F. Kuester

AMG/fnv