

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

LINDA K HINES

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-17996-H2T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

MEDICAL STAFFING NETWORK INC

Employer

Original Claim: 08-02-09

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able and Available

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the November 30, 2009, reference 03, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on January 12, 2010. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Cheryll Percy, Branch Manager, and was represented by Robert Berge of Unemployment Services Inc. Department's Exhibit D-1 was received.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the testimony and all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a registered nurse, part-time, beginning October 20, 2007, through date of hearing, as she remains employed. The claimant is assigned to work primarily at St. Luke's Hospital covering shifts as needed. When she was hired, she was not guaranteed any particular number of shifts. The claimant has the option to reject any shift she is offered at any facility. The claimant never worked a specific shift or schedule; she worked in an on-call capacity. The claimant was offered and declined shifts on September 19, 20, October 16, December 8 and 24. The claimant was never guaranteed any particular number of shifts and there were blocks of time during her employment that no hours or shifts were available.

Claimant was hired to work on-call or as needed when work was available. Claimant had no other regular employment in the base period.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.22(2)i(1) provides:

Benefit eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

i. On-call workers.

(1) Substitute workers (i.e., post office clerks, railroad extra board workers), who hold themselves available for one employer and who do not accept other work, are not available for work within the meaning of the law and are not eligible for benefits.

871 IAC 24.22(2)i(3) provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market....

i. On-call workers.

(3) An individual whose wage credits earned in the base period of the claim consist exclusively of wage credits by performing on-call work, such as a banquet worker, railway worker, substitute school teacher or any other individual whose work is solely on-call work during the base period, is not considered an unemployed individual within the meaning of Iowa Code § 96.19(9)"a" and "b." An individual who is willing to accept only on-call work is not considered to be available for work.

Because claimant was hired to work only on-call or as needed, she is not considered to be unemployed within the meaning of the law. When an individual is hired to work on-call, the implied agreement is that they will only work when work is available and that work will not be regularly available. Thus, any diminution in hours is directly related to the on-call availability when work is available, as no regular hours were guaranteed. Accordingly, benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The November 30, 2009, reference 03, decision is affirmed. The claimant is not considered unemployed because of her on-call employment status. Benefits are denied.

Teresa K. Hillary
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/kjw