## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
CHARLES T EPPERSON Claimant	APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-12329-LT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
COLLEGE COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT Employer	
	OC: 08/07/11 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(5) – Reasonable Assurance

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the September 14, 2011 (reference 04) decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on October 12, 2011. Claimant participated. Employer participated through director of business services, Jim Rotter.

#### **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether claimant has reasonable assurance of continued employment during the next school year or term.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was and remains employed as a substitute teacher for the College Community School District via the Grant Wood Area Education Association substitute employee management system and is called to work on-call or as needed when work is available. Claimant has one quarter (2nd quarter 2010 with Cedar Rapids Community School District) of regular employment in the base period but no non-educational institution wage credits.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did and does have reasonable assurance of returning to work the following academic year.

Iowa Code § 96.4-5-a provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

5. Benefits based on service in employment in a nonprofit organization or government entity, defined in section 96.19, subsection 18, are payable in the same amount, on the same terms and subject to the same conditions as compensation payable on the same basis of other service subject to this chapter, except that:

a. Benefits based on service in an instructional, research, or principal administrative capacity in an educational institution including service in or provided to or on behalf of an educational institution while in the employ of an educational service agency, a government entity, or a nonprofit organization shall not be paid to an individual for any week of unemployment which begins during the period between two successive academic years or during a similar period between two regular terms, whether or not successive, or during a period of paid sabbatical leave provided for in the individual's contract, if the individual has a contract or reasonable assurance that the individual will perform services in any such capacity for any educational institution for both such academic years or both such terms.

871 IAC 24.51(6) provides:

School definitions.

(6) Reasonable assurance, as applicable to an employee of an educational institution, means a written, verbal, or implied agreement that the employee will perform services in the same or similar capacity, which is not substantially less in economic terms and conditions, during the ensuing academic year or term. It need not be a formal written contract. To constitute a reasonable assurance of reemployment for the ensuing academic year or term, an individual must be notified of such reemployment.

871 IAC 24.52(6) provides:

Benefits which are denied to an individual that are based on services performed in an educational institution for periods between academic years or terms shall cause the denial of the use of such wage credits. However, if sufficient nonschool wage credits remain on the claim to qualify under Iowa Code § 96.4(4), the remaining wage credits may be used for benefit payments, if the individual is otherwise eligible.

In this case, the claimant did not have other non-educational institution wage credits in the base period. The claimant does have reasonable assurance of continued employment as he remains on the substitute teacher list. As a result, the claimant is not considered unemployed.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to

accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.22(2)i(1) and (3) provide:

Benefit eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

i. On-call workers.

(1) Substitute workers (i.e., post office clerks, railroad extra board workers), who hold themselves available for one employer and who do not accept other work, are not available for work within the meaning of the law and are not eligible for benefits.

(2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market....

i. On-call workers.

(3) An individual whose wage credits earned in the base period of the claim consist exclusively of wage credits by performing on-call work, such as a banquet worker, railway worker, substitute school teacher or any other individual whose work is solely on-call work during the base period, is not considered an unemployed individual within the meaning of Iowa Code § 96.19(9)"a" and "b." An individual who is willing to accept only on-call work is not considered to be available for work.

The legislature has provided a specific rule that applies to substitute teachers holding that this category of worker, among others, is not considered to be unemployed within the meaning of the law when the only base period wage credits are related to "on-call" work. When an individual is hired to work "on-call" the implied agreement is that they will only work when work is available and that work will not be regularly available. Because claimant was hired to work only on-call or as needed, he is not considered to be unemployed within the meaning of the law. Thus any diminution in hours is directly related to the sporadic availability of available work as no regular hours were guaranteed. Accordingly, benefits are denied.

# **DECISION:**

The September 14, 2011 (reference 04) decision is affirmed. The claimant does have reasonable assurance of returning to work the following academic year or term. Benefits are denied.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/css