

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section  
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

TERRY B GHOLSON  
109 N HARRISON ST  
MT PLEASANT IA 52641-2004

HY-VEE FOOD STORES INC  
c/o TALX UCM SERVICES  
PO BOX 283  
ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

DAVID WILLIAMS  
TALX UC EXPRESS  
3799 VILLAGE RUN DR #511  
DES MOINES IA 50317

Appeal Number: 06A-UI-04481-H2T  
OC: 04-02-06 R: 04  
Claimant: Respondent (2)

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

---

(Administrative Law Judge)

---

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct  
Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the April 20, 2006, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on May 11, 2006. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Jason Bishop, Store Director; Jason Vanvactor, Store Director; Linda Hagers, Accounting Coordinator; Sarah Lloyd, Human Resources Coordinator and was represented by David Williams of TALX UC eXpress.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a home medical equipment manager full time beginning May 1, 2000 through April 4, 2006, when he was discharged.

On March 24, 2006, the claimant printed out a money order for \$152.43 to pay for some t-shirt delivery that was made to the store for his other business. The claimant did not pay for the money order at the time he created it. The claimant admits that he had been previously instructed that he was not allowed to create his own money orders. He was to have another employee print the money order, collect the payment from him for the money order, ring up the transaction and print out his receipt. The claimant did not follow the employer's established procedures on March 24, 2006, when he printed out his own money order and failed to pay for it. The claimant eventually paid for the money order on March 27, 2006, when he was confronted about the absence of payment for the money order. When the employer learned that the claimant had not paid for the money order when he printed it out on March 24 and that he had failed to follow the proper procedures for creating money orders, he was discharged.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits after the separation from employment.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
  - a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The claimant created a money order and did not pay for it until three days later. He failed to follow the employer's known policies for creating money orders notwithstanding the fact that he had previously been warned for the same or similar conduct. The claimant's actions constitute disqualifying misconduct. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of Iowa law.

**DECISION:**

The April 20, 2006, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$648.00.

tkh/kkf