IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

BRANDI HOPKINS

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-12773-AW-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ENLIVANT AID ES LLC

Employer

OC: 03/14/21

Claimant: Appellant (2R)

Iowa Code § 96.1A(37) – Definitions – Total, partial unemployment

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Eligibility – A&A – Able to, available for, work search

lowa Code § 96.7(2)A(2) – Charges – Same base period employment

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) – Eligibility – A&A – Part-time same hours, wages

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the May 21, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on August 2, 2021, at 1:00 p.m. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Robbie Hinz, Executive Director. No exhibits were admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Whether claimant is totally, partially or temporarily unemployed.

Whether claimant is able to and available for work.

Whether claimant is still employed at the same hours and wages.

Whether employer's account is subject to charge.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began employment with Enlivant Aid ES on September 5, 2019. When claimant was hired as a part-time Resident Care Partner, her schedule was Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m. Claimant also picked up other shifts when available.

In December 2020, claimant volunteered to take over the duties of the Dietary Aide who had left for medical leave. Claimant told employer that she would perform the Dietary Aide duties for six weeks and then return to her regular duties and schedule. Employer agreed. Claimant and the Chef agreed on a schedule for claimant to perform the Dietary Aide duties. The schedule varied from claimant's schedule as a Resident Care Partner.

Claimant performed the Dietary Aide duties for ten weeks. In late February 2021, claimant informed employer that she wanted to return to her Resident Care Partner duties and work schedule. Employer declined. Claimant last performed work for employer on March 17, 2021. Employer did not schedule claimant to perform any work after March 17, 2021.

Claimant filed an initial claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective March 14, 2021. Claimant's employment with Enlivant Aid ES ended on June 16, 2021.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes:

Iowa Code section 96.1A(37) provides:

"Total and partial unemployment".

- a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.
- b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which either of the following apply:
- (1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- (2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

Iowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.1A, subsection 37, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.1A, subsection 37, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. R. 871-24.23(26) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(26) Where a claimant is still employed in a part-time job at the same hours and wages as contemplated in the original contract for hire and is not working on a reduced workweek basis difference from the contract for hire, such claimant cannot be considered partially unemployed.

Iowa Code section 96.7(2)a(2) provides:

- 2. Contribution rates based on benefit experience.
- a. (2) The amount of regular benefits plus fifty percent of the amount of extended benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the account of the employers in the base period in the inverse chronological order in which the employment of the individual occurred.
- (a) However, if the individual to whom the benefits are paid is in the employ of a base period employer at the time the individual is receiving the benefits, and the individual is receiving the same employment from the employer that the individual received during the individual's base period, benefits paid to the individual shall not be charged against the account of the employer. This provision applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding subparagraph (3) and section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (b) An employer's account shall not be charged with benefits paid to an individual who left the work of the employer voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer or to an individual who was discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment, or to an individual who failed without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work or to accept suitable work with that employer, but shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (c) The amount of benefits paid to an individual, which is solely due to wage credits considered to be in an individual's base period due to the exclusion and substitution of calendar quarters from the individual's base period under section 96.23, shall be charged against the account of the employer responsible for paying the workers' compensation benefits for temporary total disability or during a healing period under section 85.33, section 85.34, subsection 1, or section 85A.17, or responsible for paying indemnity insurance benefits.

It is the duty of the administrative law judge, as the trier of fact, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. Arndt v. City of LeClaire, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (lowa 2007). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. State v. Holtz, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (lowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. *Id.* In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other evidence you believe; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. *Id.*

The findings of fact show how I have resolved the disputed factual issues in this case. I assessed the credibility of the witnesses who testified during the hearing, considering the

applicable factors listed above, and using my own common sense and experience. I find the claimant's testimony about the parties' agreement at the time of hire to be more credible than employer's testimony. Claimant's testimony is based upon first-hand knowledge. Claimant also provided detailed information about the changes in her work schedule and duties during the course of her employment.

Since March 17, 2021 claimant performed no work and received no wages. Therefore, claimant was totally unemployed. Because claimant was totally unemployed, claimant is required to be able to and available for work. Claimant has the burden of proving that she was able to and available for work. Claimant had no barriers to working the hours that she and employer agreed upon at the time of hire. Therefore, claimant was able to and available for work and, thus, is entitled to benefits. Inasmuch as employer is not offering the same wages and hours as contemplated at hire, its account may be charged.

DECISION:

The May 21, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Claimant is totally unemployed and able to and available to work the same hours as agreed upon at the time of hire. Benefits are allowed. The account of the employer, Enlivant Aid ES (account # 601826-000), may be charged.

REMAND:

The issue of separation is remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an initial investigation and decision.

Adrienne C. Williamson

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

Iowa Workforce Development

1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515)478-3528

August 11, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/kmj