

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

FREDERICK J PETERSON
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-04196-LT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

MERCY HOSPITAL
Employer

**OC: 12/30/07 R: 02
Claimant: Respondent (4)**

Iowa Code Chapter 95 – Requalification
Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the April 30, 2008, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits and found the protest untimely without having held a fact-finding interview pursuant to 871 IAC 24.9(2)b. Both parties responded to the hearing notice instructions but no hearing was held, as there was sufficient evidence in the appeal letter and accompanying documents to resolve the matter without testimony.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether employer's protest is timely.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant's notice of claim was mailed to the employer's address of record on January 8, 2008 and received on January 10, 2008. The employer filed its protest on January 10, 2008. The claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation from the employer.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

The employer filed the protest before the protest due date. Therefore, the protest shall be accepted as timely.

The administrative law judge further concludes that the claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation from this employer. Accordingly, benefits are allowed and the account of the employer shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The April 30, 2008, reference 03, decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The employer has filed a timely protest, and the claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The account of the employer shall not be charged.

Dévon M. Lewis
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/kjw