IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ELVIRA GOITIA Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-11639-LJ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WEST LIBERTY FOODS LLC

Employer

OC: 04/12/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work lowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On May 3, 2021, the claimant, Elvira Goitia, filed an appeal from the August 25, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon a determination that claimant was on an approved leave of absence and was not available for work. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephonic hearing was held at 8:00 a.m. on Wednesday, July 14, 2021, and was consolidated with the hearing for 21A-UI-11640-LJ-T. The claimant, Elvira Goitia, participated. The employer, West Liberty Foods, L.L.C., participated through Mira Zamudio, Human Resource Supervisor. Spanish English interpreter LaClara (ID number 12096) from CTS Language Link provided interpretation services for the hearing. Claimant's Exhibit A was received and admitted into the record without objection. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant file a timely appeal?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A disqualification decision was mailed to claimant's last known address of record on August 25, 2020. She did receive the decision in August 2020. The first sentence of the decision states, "If this decision denies benefits and is not reversed on appeal, it may result in an overpayment which you will be required to repay." The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by September 4, 2020. The appeal was not filed until May 3, 2021, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision. Claimant testified that she brought the decision to her daughter, and her daughter read it to her. Claimant chose not to pay much attention to the decision. Later, when she received the decision finding she had been overpaid benefits, she decided to appeal.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal is untimely.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). 00194Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982).

Here, claimant testified that she received the decision in a timely manner and understood that she had the right to appeal the decision. Her delay in appealing was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay. Claimant's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issue in this matter.

DECISION:

The August 25, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant failed to file a timely appeal, and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

Elizabeth A. Johnson Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

July 22, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

lj/kmj