# BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Fourth floor
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

\_\_\_\_\_\_

YERI C ARGUETA

: **HEARING NUMBER:** 18BUI-12640

Claimant

and : **EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD** 

JELD-WEN INC : DECISION

# NOTICE

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-2-A, 96.3-7

**Employer** 

#### DECISION

#### **UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED**

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The parties were notified that timeliness of the appeal was at issue. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The decision of the administrative law judge was dated and mailed on January 3, 2018. The Claimant appealed the decision of the administrative law judge to the Employment Appeal Board in an undated letter that was postmarked January 18, 2018, but hand-delivered and date-stamped on January 19, 2018. Good cause for the late filing was not shown. The appeal was not filed in a timely manner.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code Section 96.6(3) (1999) provides:

The parties shall be duly notified of the administrative law judge's decision, together with the administrative law judge's reasons for the decision, which is the final decision of the department, unless within fifteen days after the date of the notification or mailing of such decision, further appeal is initiated pursuant to this section.

Section 486 IAC 3.1(2) of the Iowa Administrative Code provides:

Form and time of appeal. A party aggrieved by a decision of the administrative law judge may appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within 15 days from the date of the decision. The appeal shall state the grounds for appeal. The appeal shall be addressed to Employment Appeal Board, Lucas State Office Building, Fourth Floor, Des Moines, lowa 50319. The appeal may also be filed at any office maintained by the workforce development department which processes claims for unemployment insurance. Appeals may also be filed by facsimile transmission (fax). If the appeal is filed by fax, the original copy shall be mailed to the employment appeal board. The date of the appeal is the date of the fax transmission.

According to 871 IAC 24.35(1), if a United States Postal Service postmark is present that postmark will be used as the filing date of the appeal. If there is no postmark, a postal meter mark will be used to establish the filing date. If neither is available the date of the appeal is the date the appeal was written.

This rule has been construed in <u>Pepsi Cola v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 465 N.W.2d 674 (Iowa App. 1990). The court stated that the United States Postal Service postmark is governing when both a meter mark and postmark are present on the envelope.

The Claimant did not file a timely appeal to the Employment Appeal Board. The Employment Appeal Board is without jurisdiction to review the merits of the case. <u>Franklin v. lowa Department of Job Service</u>, 277 N.W.2d 877 (lowa 1979).

The Employment Appeal Board rule at 486 IAC 3.1(16) provides a late appeal shall be dismissed unless good cause for the delay in filing is shown. Good cause was not shown in this case.

## **DECISION:**

The Employment Appeal Board lacks jurisdiction to rule on the merits of the appeal. The administrative law judge's decision dated January 3, 2018, which denied benefits, is final.

The majority Board members would note the envelope containing the Claimant's appeal was postmarked on January 18, 2018, which had it gone through the mailing process, the appeal would have been timely. However, for some reason, the Claimant hand-delivered her appeal the next day on the 19th rendering her appeal one day late. If the Claimant can explain how and why she was able to retrieve the already postmarked envelope, the Board may be able to reconsider her appeal on rehearing.

James M. Strohman

## **DISSENTING OPINION OF ASHLEY R. KOOPMANS:**

I respectfully dissent from the decision of the Employment Appeal Board that the Claimant's appeal is untimely. I would find her appeal timely based on the date of the postmark and continue processing this appeal on the merits. It is clear the Claimant intended to appeal the administrative law judge's decision based on the postmark date, and the fact she travelled some distance to personally deliver her appeal. The fact that the envelope was returned to her after it was postmarked appears to be postal error, which I find to be good cause to consider the Claimant's appeal timely.

Ashley R. Koopmans

AMG/fnv