

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ANTONIO R ROSS**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-07787-MT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**WESTAR FOODS INC**  
Employer

**OC: 06/09/13**  
**Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit  
Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated June 20, 2013, reference 01, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on August 8, 2013. Claimant participated with witness Trese Jones. Employer participated by Jeff Oswald, Unemployment Insurance Service Hearing Representative with witness Tina Chudarry, General Manager. Exhibits One and A were admitted into evidence.

**ISSUE:**

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer. The issue is whether the appeal is timely.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on May 31, 2013. Claimant took another job that paid better. The job conflicted with employer's required work hours for employer. Claimant did not make it to work on May 31, 2013. Employer deemed this a quit because May 31 was one of the most important work days of the year.

Claimant's appeal is timely as claimant tried to file his appeal at a local Workforce office on the last day but was turned away and told to come back the next day. But for the bad advice from Workforce the appeal would have been timely filed.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has failed to establish that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when claimant terminated the employment relationship because he accepted new and better employment with conflicting hours. This is a quit for a new job. While not good cause attributable to employer it is nonetheless a qualifying event. Benefits allowed. Employer's account shall not be charged.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

a. The individual left employment in good faith for the sole purpose of accepting other or better employment, which the individual did accept, and the individual performed services in the new employment. Benefits relating to wage credits earned with the employer that the individual has left shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

871 IAC 24.28(5) provides:

Voluntary quit requalifications and previously adjudicated voluntary quit issues.

(5) The claimant shall be eligible for benefits even though the claimant voluntarily quit if the claimant left for the sole purpose of accepting an offer of other or better employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment.

Claimant's appeal is timely as claimant tried to file his appeal at a local Workforce office on the last day but was turned away and told to come back the next day. But for the bad advice from Workforce the appeal would have been timely filed.

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the

administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

**DECISION:**

The decision of the representative dated June 20, 2013, reference 01, is reversed. Claimant's appeal is timely. Unemployment insurance benefits are allowed provided claimant is otherwise eligible. Employer's account shall not be charged.

---

Marlon Mormann  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

mdm/css