IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

DEREK MANGRICH

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-05957-ED-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

THE VGM GROUP INC

Employer

OC: 03/15/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the February 22, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon his voluntarily quitting work without good cause attributable to the employer. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 30, 2021. The claimant, Derek Mangrich, participated personally. The employer, The VGM Group Inc., participated through Casee Boose, HR Generalist, Andrew Billerbeck, Warehouse Manager and Steven Kohlmeyer, Warehouse Supervisor. No exhibits were received.

ISSUES:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer? Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed part-time as a warehouse associate. He began working for this employer on August 28, 2018 and his employment ended on February 18, 2020. His job duties included picking or shipping. Claimant's direct supervisor was Steven Kohlmeyer.

Claimant contends that he was subjected to a hostile work environment. Claimant testified that a co-worker named Miranda, directed profane language toward claimant in an aggressive manner. Claimant expressed his concern to his supervisor, Andrew Billerbeck. Miranda was issued a "Coach and Counsel" on December 9, 2019. The claimant and Miranda were then scheduled to work separate from each other. However, they would still work together on occasion. Claimant testified that even after the coaching and counseling from Mr. Billerbeck on December 9, 2019, Miranda's behavior did not stop when claimant encountered her. The claimant did not address his concern with Miranda to anyone from the human resources department. Claimant submitted his verbal resignation to Mr. Kohlmeyer, and his last day worked was February 18, 2020.

Claimant had one coach and counsel issued to him during the course of his employment. He was not going to be laid off or discharged from employment. There was continuing work available to him had he not quit.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

Iowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (lowa 1989). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (lowa Ct. App. 1992).

Claimant determined he could no longer work with Miranda. Claimant had an intention to quit and carried out that intention by tendering his verbal resignation and leaving. As such, claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

As such, if claimant establishes that he left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions, benefits would be allowed. Generally notice of an intent to quit is required by *Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board*, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), *Suluki v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and *Swanson v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996). These cases require an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. Accordingly, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added, however, to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005). "Good cause attributable to the employer" does not require fault,

negligence, wrongdoing or bad faith by the employer. *Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 433 N.W.2d 700, 702 (lowa 1988)("[G]ood cause attributable to the employer can exist even though the employer is free from all negligence or wrongdoing in connection therewith"); *Shontz v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission*, 248 N.W.2d 88, 91 (lowa 1976)(benefits payable even though employer "free from fault"); *Raffety v. Iowa Employment Security Commission*, 76 N.W.2d 787, 788 (lowa 1956)("The good cause attributable to the employer need not be based upon a fault or wrong of such employer."). Good cause may be attributable to "the employment itself" rather than the employer personally and still satisfy the requirements of the Act. *Raffety*, 76 N.W.2d at 788 (lowa 1956). Therefore, claimant was not required to give the employer any notice with regard to the intolerable or detrimental working conditions prior to her quitting. However, claimant must prove that her working conditions were intolerable or detrimental.

While it is undisputed that Miranda directed profane language toward claimant in the workplace, there was no evidence presented that there were threats of violence or gossiping about claimant himself. In addition, claimant never brought his concerns about Miranda to the human resources department as directed to do so in his employee handbook. Given the facts of this case claimant has failed to prove that under the same circumstances a reasonable person would feel compelled to resign. See O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd., 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993). Rather, the circumstances in this case seem to align with the conclusion that claimant was unable to work with Miranda and that claimant was dissatisfied with his work environment in general. These are not good cause reasons attributable to the employer for claimant to have quit.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(6) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(6) The claimant left as a result of an inability to work with other employees.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(21) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.

As such, the claimant's voluntary quitting was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The February 22, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld in regards to this employer until such time as claimant is deemed eligible.

Emily Drenkow Can

Emily Drenkow Carr Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515) 478-3528

May 7, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

ed/kmj