IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

SCOTT STEWART

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 09A-UI-10802-ET

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

TEMPS NOW HEARTLAND LLC

Employer

OC: 01-11-09

Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Section 96.5(1)j – Voluntary Leaving (Temporary Assignment) Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the July 24, 2009, reference 06, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on August 12, 2009. The claimant participated in the hearing. Shae Munson, Senior Recruiter and Hallie Kurth, Employer Representative, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-connected misconduct and whether the claimant sought reassignment from the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time general laborer for Temps Now Heartland last assigned to Wal-Mart from March 1, 2009 to June 2, 2009. After the assignment ended, the claimant failed to report to the employer within three working days as required by written policy. The employer attempted to call the claimant June 5, 2009, but could not reach him as he had a new phone number but had not provided that number to the employer. On June 11, 2009, the claimant went in to the office to pick up his check and gave the employer his new phone number. Between June 11 and June 23, 2009, the claimant did not contact the employer. On June 23, 2009, the employer offered the claimant another assignment and the claimant worked June 26, 2009. On July 3, 2009, the employer offered the claimant another assignment and he declined, citing other plans. On July 4, 2009, he was scheduled to work an assignment but was a no-call/no-show and did not contact the employer July 4 or 5, 2009. On July 6, 2009, he called at 12:15 p.m. to say his brother was in an accident and that is why he was a no-call/no-show and the employer issued him a verbal warning. The employer has not heard from him for the last 37 days. The employer's policy states that employees must call within three days and maintain contact at least one time per week after that. The policy is contained on a separate sheet of paper and was signed by the claimant at the time of hire. The claimant maintains he has called in except when he was working as a self-employed individual.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits since his separation from this employer.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The claimant was aware he was required to maintain contact with the employer after the completion of his assignment but failed to do so. While he may have been self-employed for some of those weeks, he was not reporting wages for all of the weeks he did not contact the employer. The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working. In this case, the claimant rarely gave the employer notice of his availability and, therefore, is considered to have quit the employment, even though the claimant may have returned to work for the temporary agency at some later date. Consequently, benefits are denied.

The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code section 96.3-7. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. The matter of determining the amount of the overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under lowa Code section 96.3-7-b is remanded to the Agency.

DECISION:

The July 24, 2009, reference 06, decision is reversed. The claimant's separation was not attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant works in and has been paid for wages equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. The matter

Appeal No. 09A-UI-10802-ET

of determining the amount of the overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under Iowa Code section 96.3-7-b is remanded to the Agency.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/css