IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

BELINDA K KOPASKA

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07O-UI-04039-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HY-VEE INC

Employer

OC: 12/10/06 R: 02 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated January 3, 2007, reference 01, which held claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on February 15, 2007. The matter was remanded for additional evidence by Employment Appeal Board order April 17, 2007. Claimant participated and was represented by Jim Hamilton, Paralegal. Employer participated by David Williams, Talx, Hearing Representative; Jamie Stephens, Store Director; Jim Teepe, Manager General Merchandise; Karee White, Human Resource Coordinator; and Trish McElderry, Risk Management Specialist. Exhibit One was admitted into evidence at the first hearing.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant guit for good cause attributable to employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on November 27, 2006. Claimant quit work pursuant to an agreement with the employer's workers' compensation department. Claimant incurred a work related injury with employer May 27, 2005. Claimant underwent surgery for the work related low back condition August 1, 2005 and was returned to work September 20, 2005. The employer would not settle the workers' compensation case without claimant terminating her employment. Employer made the termination of employment a condition of the settlement. Claimant did not ask that she sell out her employment along with the workers' compensation claim. Claimant opted to settle and give up her employment rights rather than go to court on the work injury issue.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has established that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when claimant terminated the employment relationship because of a work related injury. Employer did not want claimant to return to work, as evidenced by the settlement terms. The whole problem was precipitated by a work-related injury. As such, employer pushed claimant out of her job due to a work-related injury. This is cause attributable to employer for the separation, because it was employer that conditioned the settlement upon claimant's voluntary quit. Employer offered the settlement premised upon claimant quitting and now must bear the responsibility for the separation. If claimant had been given an option, the result may have been different. Benefits allowed, as the quit was the direct and proximate result of conduct perpetrated by employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(6)b provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

- (6) Separation because of illness, injury, or pregnancy.
- b. Employment related separation. The claimant was compelled to leave employment because of an illness, injury, or allergy condition that was attributable to the employment. Factors and circumstances directly connected with the employment which caused or aggravated the illness, injury, allergy, or disease to the employee which made it impossible for the employee to continue in employment because of serious danger to the employee's health may be held to be an involuntary termination of employment and constitute good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant will be eligible for benefits if compelled to leave employment as a result of an injury suffered on the job.

In order to be eligible under this paragraph "b" an individual must present competent evidence showing adequate health reasons to justify termination; before quitting have informed the employer of the work-related health problem and inform the employer that the individual intends to quit unless the problem is corrected or the individual is reasonably accommodated. Reasonable accommodation includes other comparable work which is not injurious to the claimant's health and for which the claimant must remain available.

DECISION:

The	decision	of	the	representative	dated	January 3,	2007,	reference 01,	is	affirmed.
Uner	nployment	ins	urand	e benefits are a	llowed,	provided cla	imant is	otherwise eligil	ole.	

Marlon Mormann

Marlon Mormann Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

mdm/kjw