IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JEMARIOUS MARCH

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 08A-UI-03629-BT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

A E OUTFITTERS RETAIL CO

Employer

OC: 03/02/08 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)(a) - Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.3-7 - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

A E Outfitters Retail Company (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated April 3, 2008, reference 01, which held that Jemarious March (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on April 29, 2008. The claimant did not comply with the hearing notice instructions and did not call in to provide a telephone number at which he could be contacted, and therefore, did not participate. The employer participated through Tonya Noordt, Store Manager. Employer's Exhibit One was admitted into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the party, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-related misconduct.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a part-time sales associate from May 9, 2006 through March 3, 2008 when he was discharged for reviewing and disseminating confidential employee information. The employee reviews were kept in a locked drawer in the manager's office. A manager from a different store was working at the store on March 1, 2008 and mistakenly left the drawer unlocked. The claimant snooped in the drawer unbeknownst to the temporary manager. He looked at the confidential employee reviews and shared the review scores with other employees. The store manager learned about it from an assistant manager, a lead cashier and a sales associate. She questioned the claimant about his actions on March 3, 2008 and he admitted looking at the review packet. He also admitted he knew what he was doing was wrong. When the store manager asked him why he discussed the reviews with other employees when he should not have even seen them, he stated he did not know. The store manager discharged the claimant for violating the employer's confidential company information policy.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective March 2, 2008 and has received benefits after the separation from employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-connected misconduct. A claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if an employer has discharged the claimant for reasons constituting work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

The employer has the burden to prove the claimant was discharged for work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law. Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The claimant was discharged for violating company policy after he reviewed and disseminated confidential employee information. He knew what he was doing was wrong but went one step further when he shared the information with other employees. The claimant's policy violations show a willful or wanton disregard of the standard of behavior the employer has the right to expect from an employee, as well as an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests and of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law has been established in this case and benefits are denied.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

DECISION:

sda/pjs

The unemployment insurance decision dated April 3, 2008, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he was discharged from work for misconduct. Benefits are withheld until he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$665.00.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed