IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MAURICE A SMITH

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-02020-VST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

NEHRING CONSTRUCTION INC

Employer

OC: 01/10/10

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated February 11, 2011, reference 01, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on March 17, 2011. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Bret Nehring, Owner. The record consists of the testimony of Maurice Smith and the testimony of Bret Nehring.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having considered all of the evidence in the record, makes the following findings of fact:

The claimant was hired on April 30, 2010, as a full-time cement finisher. The claimant's last day of work was October 23, 2010. On October 26, 2010, the claimant was incarcerated for six days. Mr. Nehring did not allow the claimant to return to work after he served his sentence.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(16) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an

employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(16) The claimant is deemed to have left if such claimant becomes incarcerated.

A quit is a separation initiated by the employee. 871 IAC 24.1(113)(b). In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. See <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 698, 612 (lowa 1980) and <u>Peck v. EAB</u>, 492 N.W.2d 438 (lowa App. 1992). In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer. See 871 IAC 24.25.

lowa law clearly states that is an individual is incarcerated he is considered to have voluntarily quit his job without good cause attributable to the employer. In this case the evidence established that the claimant was in jail from October 26, 2010, through October 31, 2010. He missed work days as a result. Under these circumstances the claimant is considered to have voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated February 11, 2011, reference 01, is affirmed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Vicki L. Seeck
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

vls/css