IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

MARY P SCHMIDT

Claimant

APPEAL 17A-UI-12054-LJ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

AMPHION MEDICAL SOLUTIONS LLC

Employer

OC: 03/12/17

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(1) – Voluntary Quitting – Change in Contract of Hire

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the November 16, 2017 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon a determination that claimant voluntarily quit her employment. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on December 14, 2017. The claimant, Mary P. Schmidt, participated. The employer, Amphion Medical Solutions, L.L.C., participated through Dana Green, Vice President of Human Resources. Claimant's Exhibit A was received and admitted into the record without objection.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as an independent contractor, most recently as a talent acquisition specialist/recruiter, from June 2017, until October 13, 2017, when she quit. Claimant initially began employment with Amphion in 2006. In March 2017, claimant was laid off due to a lack of work.

In June 2017, claimant was brought back to work as an independent contractor. Claimant worked full-time hours and earned \$25.00 per hour in this position. In September 2017, claimant was notified that her position was being eliminated. Claimant was offered an independent contractor position as a quality assurance associate at that time. This position required full-time hours and paid \$16.00 per hour. Claimant declined this position. The employer agrees that there was no additional work available for claimant as a talent acquisition specialist after October 2017.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation was with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

24.26(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). In general, a substantial pay reduction of 25 to 35 percent or a similar reduction of working hours creates good cause attributable to the employer for a resignation. *Dehmel v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 433 N.W.2d 700 (Iowa 1988). A notice of an intent to quit had been required by *Cobb v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), *Suluki v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and *Swanson v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996). Those cases required an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. However, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court recently concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005).

Inasmuch as the claimant would suffer an indefinite demotion in title combined with a 36 percent reduction in pay, and employer has not established misconduct as a reason for the effective demotion, the change of the original terms of hire is considered substantial. Thus, the separation was with good cause attributable to the employer.

DECISION:

The November 16, 2017 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Claimant quit the employment with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided she is otherwise eligible. Any benefits claimed and withheld on this basis shall be paid.

Elizabeth A. Johnson

Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

lj/scn