

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MARK W LACEFIELD
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-02651-AT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

OC: 12/06/09
Claimant: Appellant (2)

871 IAC 24.2(1)e – Reporting as Directed

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Mark W. Lacefield filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated February 9, 2010, reference 02, that denied benefits to him upon a finding that he had failed to report to his local workforce center in response to a notice that had been mailed to him. With the consent of the claimant, a telephone hearing was held February 19, 2010 with Mr. Lacefield participating.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant have good cause for failing to report as directed?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witness and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Mark W. Lacefield did not receive a written notice to report to his local workforce center during the week of January 31, 2010. Had he received such a notice, he would have responded to it.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether the claimant should be denied benefits for failing to report as directed. He should not.

The evidence in the record establishes that the claimant did not receive the notice that had been mailed to him. Under these circumstances, it would be unfair to deny unemployment insurance benefits.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated February 9, 2010, reference 02, is reversed. The claimant is entitled to receive unemployment insurance benefits, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Dan Anderson
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

pjs/pjs