

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

**CORY G VOYEK**  
Claimant

**GREAT WESTERN DINING SERVICE INC**  
Employer

**APPEAL 21A-UI-00597-DG-T**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**OC: 09/06/20  
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting  
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(1) – Voluntary Quitting – Change in Contract of Hire

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated November 24, 2020, (reference 01) that held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on February 9, 2021. Claimant participated. Employer failed to respond to the hearing notice and did not participate.

**ISSUE:**

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on August 20, 2020. Claimant resigned from the employment on that date because his hours and wages had significantly changed.

Claimant began working for employer as a full-time cook on July 9, 2020. When he began working for employer he was told he would be working eight hours a day five days a week.

Beginning on or about July 15, 2020 claimant was not offered full-time hours. Claimant thought the hours would increase so at first he kept working whatever hours he could get. Claimant spoke with employer and discussed why he was not working full-time hours later July, 2020, and again in August, 2020. Employer told claimant that it was not able to offer him full-time hours because of the pandemic.

On August 24, 2020 claimant decided that he had to leave the employment because he was not working the hours he was promised when he was hired. Claimant had only been working approximately 18 hours a week throughout August, and claimant could not survive on the wages he was earning. Claimant left the employment on that date.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did voluntarily leave the employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. *Voluntary quitting.* If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(1) provides:

**Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits.** The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

In general, a substantial pay reduction of 25 to 35 percent or a similar reduction of working hours creates good cause attributable to the employer for a resignation. *Dehmel v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 433 N.W.2d 700 (Iowa 1988). A notice of an intent to quit had been required by *Cobb v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), *Suluki v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and *Swanson v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996). Those cases required an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. However, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005). A refusal to accept a night shift position at a sanitarium constitutes a good cause quit attributable to the employer when that shift would endanger the claimant's health. *Forrest Park Sanitarium v. Miller*, 333 Iowa 1341, 11 N.W.2d 582 (Iowa 1943).

Since there was no disqualifying basis for the demotion, the quit because of the change in contract of hire was with good cause attributable to the employer. Employer has not established misconduct as a reason for the effective demotion, and the change of the original terms of hire is considered substantial. Thus the separation was with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed.

**Note to Claimant:** If this decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits and you disagree with this decision, you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits, but who are

currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at <https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information>. If this decision becomes final, or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits.

**DECISION:**

The November 24, 2020, (reference 01) decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.



---

Duane L. Golden  
Administrative Law Judge

February 25, 2021  
Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/scn