

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

SABIHA GANIC
1147- 39TH ST
DES MOINES IA 50311

DR BUSHMAN & ASSOCIATES INC
THE STITCHERY
3313 - 104TH ST
URBANDALE IA 50322-3821

THOMAS J BERG
ATTORNEY AT LAW
2423 INGERSOLL AVE
DES MOINES IA 50312-5233

Appeal Number: 06A-UI-00319-MT
OC: 11/20/05 R: 02
Claimant: Appellant (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated December 23, 2005, reference 01, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on January 26, 2006. Claimant participated personally and was represented by Chris Spaulding, Attorney at Law. Employer participated by Carolyn De Vries, Digitizer; David Bushman, Vice President; and Dorothy Bushman, President. Exhibits One through Seven were admitted into evidence.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on October 14, 2005. Claimant went off work for treatment of an alleged work-related injury. The employment relationship was terminated by the employer because claimant did not have a full-duty release to return to work. Claimant had not recovered from her injury at the time of the employer initiated separation. This separation is found as November 18, 2005 as that is the week prior to claimant filing for unemployment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer. The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has established that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when employer terminated the employment relationship because claimant could not return to full-duty employment. Employer separated the employment relationship prior to a release from medical care. This is a separation for cause attributable to employer. Benefits allowed.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated December 23, 2005, reference 01, is reversed. Unemployment insurance benefits are allowed provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

mdm\tjc