# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**CHRISTOPHER M YOUNG** 

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-00488-SC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ARONA CORPORATION

Employer

OC: 09/13/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22 - Able & Available - Benefits Eligibility Conditions

lowa Code § 96.6(2) - Timeliness of Appeal

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On December 9, 2020, Christopher M. Young (claimant) filed an appeal from the November 24, 2020, reference 05, unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits effective September 13, 2020, based upon the determination he was not able to and available for work. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held on February 8, 2021 and consolidated with the hearing for appeal 21A-Ul-00489-SC-T. The claimant participated. The employer's witness did not answer when called at the phone number provided. The department's Exhibits D1 through D3 were admitted into the record. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record, specifically the claimant's wage history.

## **ISSUES:**

Is the claimant's appeal timely?

Was the claimant able to work, available for work, and actively and earnestly seeking work effective September 13, 2020?

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The unemployment insurance decision was mailed to the claimant's address of record on November 24, 2020. The decision contained a warning that an appeal needed to be filed by December 4. The claimant did not receive the decision until December 8. The appeal was sent within ten days after receipt of that decision.

The claimant separated from employment on or about September 13, 2020, due to an injury. The claimant was a delivery driver and had limited or no use of his right leg or knee. The claimant's physical therapist will only let him work if he is sitting.

On or about October 1, the claimant began working for Central lowa Towing. He worked full-time during the fourth quarter of 2020.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was timely; however, the claimant was not able to work and available for work. Benefits are denied effective September 13, 2020.

I. Is the claimant's appeal timely?

lowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

Filing – determination – appeal.

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

Date of submission and extension of time for payments and notices.

- (2) The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.
- a. For submission that is not within the statutory or regulatory period to be considered timely, the interested party must submit a written explanation setting forth the circumstances of the delay.
- b. The division shall designate personnel who are to decide whether an extension of time shall be granted.
- c. No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the department after considering the circumstances in the case.
- d. If submission is not considered timely, although the interested party contends that the delay was due to division error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States postal service, the division shall issue an appealable decision to the interested party.

The claimant did not have an opportunity to appeal the unemployment insurance decision because the decision was not received in a timely fashion. Without timely notice of a

disqualification, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See *Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973). The claiamnt filed the appeal within ten days of receipt. Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

II. Was the claimant able to work, available for work, and actively and earnestly seeking work effective September 13, 2020?

lowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22 provides, in relevant part:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.
- b. Interpretation of ability to work. The law provides that an individual must be able to work to be eligible for benefits. This means that the individual must be physically able to work, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but able to work in some reasonably suitable, comparable, gainful, full-time endeavor, other than self-employment, which is generally available in the labor market in which the individual resides.
- (2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market. Since, under unemployment insurance laws, it is the

Appeal 21A-Ul-00488-SC-T

availability of an individual that is required to be tested, the labor market must be described in terms of the individual. A labor market for an individual means a market for the type of service which the individual offers in the geographical area in which the individual offers the service. Market in that sense does not mean that job vacancies must exist; the purpose of unemployment insurance is to compensate for lack of job vacancies. It means only that the type of services which an individual is offering is generally performed in the geographical area in which the individual is offering the services.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23 provides, in relevant part:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(1) An individual who is ill and presently not able to perform work due to illness.

. . .

(23) The claimant's availability for other work is unduly limited because such claimant is working to such a degree that removes the claimant from the labor market.

. . .

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a medical practitioner and has not been released as being able to work.

An individual claiming benefits has the burden to prove that he is be able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22. Since September 13, the claimant has either been under a doctor's care and unable to work or working full-time. He was not able to and available for work. Accordingly, he is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits.

## **DECISION:**

The claimant's appeal is timely. The November 24, 2020, reference 05, unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective September 13, 2020. Benefits are denied.

\_\_\_\_\_

Stuphanie R Can

Stephanie R. Callahan Administrative Law Judge

February 19, 2021
Decision Dated and Mailed

src/kmj