IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

RICK L KNEPEL Claimant	APPEAL 17A-UI-07926-DG-T ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
FAMILY RESOURCES INC Employer	OC: 07/02/17

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(1) – Voluntary Quitting – Change in Contract of Hire

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated August 3, 2017, (reference 01) that held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on August 24, 2017. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Danielle Graham, Senior Human Resources Manager.

Claimant: Appellant (2)

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on May 12, 2017. Claimant tendered his resignation on that date because he had not been scheduled to work beginning on or about May 1, 2017.

Claimant began working for employer in August of 2016. Claimant was a college student, and he was able to work part-time in the morning before his classes started. Claimant averaged 20 to 30 hours a week through April, 2017. In early May, 2017, claimant noticed that he was no longer scheduled to work for employer. Claimant checked with employer and discovered that he was taken off the schedule and his part-time employee status had been changed to as needed.

Claimant spoke to his supervisor in early May, 2017. His supervisor indicated that employer made some personnel changes, and it no longer needed claimant to work the early morning hours. Claimant was told he would be contacted when work was available. Claimant explained that he was counting on the income, and that he would have to look for other work if the hours he had been working were no longer available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did voluntarily leave the employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. *Voluntary quitting.* If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

In general, a substantial pay reduction of 25 to 35 percent or a similar reduction of working hours creates good cause attributable to the employer for a resignation. *Dehmel v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 433 N.W.2d 700 (Iowa 1988). A notice of an intent to quit had been required by *Cobb v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), *Suluki v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and *Swanson v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996). Those cases required an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. However, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), but not 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005).

Since there was no disqualifying basis for the change in his employment status and hours, the quit because of the change in contract of hire was with good cause attributable to the employer. Inasmuch as the claimant would suffer a substantial economic loss, and employer has not established misconduct as a reason for the effective demotion, the change of the original terms of hire is considered substantial. Thus the separation was with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The August 3, 2017, (reference 01) decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Duane L. Golden Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/scn