IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ASHLEIGH TRUNKHILL

Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-02635-S1-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

MARTIN LUTHER HOME CORPORATION

Employer

OC: 02/23/20

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the March 19, 2020, reference 05, decision that allowed benefits and found the protest untimely. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on May 1, 2020. The claimant did not provide a telephone number and, therefore, did not participate in the hearing. The employer was represented by Jacqueline Jones, Hearings Representative, and participated by Janet Patters, Recruitment Coordinator, and Janet Warren, Executive Director. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative file.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer filed a timely protest.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant established a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective February 23, 2020. The employer opted to participate in the multistate SIDES program. It provided a company email for notification purposes. The employer used a representative company, Equifax/Talx, to handle its unemployment needs. The employer incorrectly assumed the SIDES notification was being sent to the employer's email and the representative's email.

A notification of claim was transmitted to the employer's email of record on February 24, 2020. The notice contained a warning that a protest must be received by the Agency by March 6, 2020. The protest was not filed until it was transmitted on March 16, 2020, which is after the due date.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

Another portion of this same Code section dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states that such an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the Iowa Supreme Court held that this statute prescribing the time for notice of appeal clearly limits the time to do so, and that compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979).

The administrative law judge considers the reasoning and holding of that court in that decision to be controlling on this portion of that same lowa Code section which deals with a time limit in which to file a protest after notification of the filing of the claim has been mailed. The employer has not shown any good cause for not complying with the jurisdictional time limit. Therefore, the administrative law judge is without jurisdiction to entertain any appeal regarding the separation from employment.

The administrative law judge concludes that employer has failed to protest within the time period prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law. The delay was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to 871 IAC 4.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the employer has failed to timely protest pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the claimant's separation from employment. See Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979); Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979) and Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company v. Employment Appeal Board, 465 N.W.2d 674 (Iowa App. 1990).

DECISION:

The March 19, 2020, reference 05, decision is affirmed. The employer has failed to file a timely protest, and the decision of the representative shall stand and remain in full force and effect.

Beth A. Scheetz

Administrative Law Judge

Buch A. Felenty

May 6, 2020_

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/scn