

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

---

**MARY J EVEN**  
Claimant

**QWEST CORPORATION**  
Employer

**APPEAL 16A-UI-08817-H2T**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**OC: 08/09/15**  
**Claimant: Appellant (1)**

---

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal  
Iowa Code § 96.5-5 – Severance Pay

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

The claimant filed an appeal from the October 9, 2015, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that deducted severance pay from her unemployment insurance benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on August 31, 2016. Claimant participated and was represented by Steve Abbott, Local President of Communication Workers of America Local 7108. Employer did not participate. Claimant's exhibit A was entered and received into the record.

**ISSUES:**

Did the claimant file a timely appeal?

Did the claimant received severance pay, and if so, was it correctly deducted from her unemployment insurance benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was mailed a decision on October 9, 2015 notifying her that her severance pay would be deducted from her unemployment insurance benefits. She received the decision a few days after it was mailed. She spoke to her local workforce office who explained to her that her severance payments would be deducted from her unemployment insurance benefits for 28 weeks, but that she could begin to claim her benefits again in April 2016. No one from her local office told her not to file an appeal. The claimant began claiming her unemployment insurance benefits again for the week ending April 23, 2016. She filed and received benefits each week through the week ending August 6, 2016 when her claim expired. The claimant filed her appeal to the October 9, 2015 decision on August 12, 2016 after her claim had expired. The claimant's appeal was late because she did not understand the system.

The claimant was paid \$26,400.00 in severance pay on her last paycheck of August 7, 2015. The employer did not require her to sign any type of release in order to receive the severance pay. The severance pay represents roughly 28 weeks of payment at the claimant's regular wage.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's appeal is untimely.

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Pursuant to rules 871 IAC 26.2(96)(1) and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. *Messina v. IDJS*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute,

and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. IESC*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal. The claimant spoke to her local office shortly after receiving the decision. She chose not to appeal at that time.

The administrative law judge concludes that failure to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to 871 IAC 24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979).

In the event that a higher authority should determine the claimant filed a timely appeal, for the following reasons the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did receive severance pay, which was correctly deducted from benefits.

Iowa Code § 96.5-5 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

5. Other compensation. For any week with respect to which the individual is receiving or has received payment in the form of any of the following:

a. Wages in lieu of notice, separation allowance, severance pay, or dismissal pay.

b. Compensation for temporary disability under the workers' compensation law of any state or under a similar law of the United States.

c. A governmental or other pension, retirement or retired pay, annuity, or any other similar periodic payment made under a plan maintained or contributed to by a base period or chargeable employer where, except for benefits under the federal Social Security Act or the federal Railroad Retirement Act of 1974 or the corresponding provisions of prior law, the plan's eligibility requirements or benefit payments are affected by the base period employment or the remuneration for the base period employment. However, if an individual's benefits are reduced due to the receipt of a payment under this paragraph, the reduction shall be decreased by the same percentage as the percentage contribution of the individual to the plan under which the payment is made.

Provided, that if the remuneration is less than the benefits which would otherwise be due under this chapter, the individual is entitled to receive for the week, if otherwise eligible, benefits reduced by the amount of the remuneration. Provided further, if benefits were paid for any week under this chapter for a period when benefits, remuneration or compensation under paragraph "a", "b", or "c", were paid on a retroactive basis for the same period, or any part thereof, the department shall recover the excess amount of benefits paid by the department for the period, and no employer's account shall be

charged with benefits so paid. However, compensation for service-connected disabilities or compensation for accrued leave based on military service, by the beneficiary, with the armed forces of the United States, irrespective of the amount of the benefit, does not disqualify any individual, otherwise qualified, from any of the benefits contemplated herein. A deduction shall not be made from the amount of benefits payable for a week for individuals receiving federal social security pensions to take into account the individuals' contributions to the pension program.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-23.3(1) provides:

(1) "Wages" means all remuneration for personal services, including commissions and bonuses and the cash value of all remuneration in any medium other than cash. Wages also means wages in lieu of notice, separation allowance, severance pay, or dismissal pay. The reasonable cash value of remuneration in any medium other than cash shall be estimated and determined in accordance with rule 23.2(96).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.13(3)c provides:

(3) Fully deductible payments from benefits. The following payments are considered as wages; however, such payments are fully deductible from benefits on a dollar-for-dollar basis:

c. Wages in lieu of notice, separation allowance, severance pay and dismissal pay.

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits for any week with respect to which the individual is receiving or has received wages in lieu of notice, a separation allowance, severance pay, or dismissal pay. Iowa Code section 96.5(5)(a). If the remuneration is less than the unemployment insurance benefits which would otherwise be due, the individual is entitled to receive for the week, if otherwise eligible, benefits reduced by the amount of the remuneration. Iowa Code section 96.5(5).

The Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section of Iowa Workforce Development has historically interpreted "severance pay" to include a benefit used to attract employees or "conscience money" to help a former employee survive a lay off. The Appeals Section has historically excluded from the definition of "severance pay" circumstances involving quid pro quo settlements designed to head off further legal action by an employee that might arise from the circumstances surrounding the separation from the employment. The greater weight of the evidence in the record indicates that the payment amount of \$26,400.00 was a true severance payment representing standard severance wages for the claimant. The claimant was not required to do anything in order to receive the payment. It does represent severance pay under the definition set out above and is thus deductible from the claimant's unemployment insurance benefits.

## **DECISION:**

The October 9, 2015, (reference 01), decision is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative remains in effect. The severance pay was deducted for the correct period.

---

Teresa K. Hillary  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/pjs