# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ARTHUR F NOLAN

**APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-15917-AT** 

Claimant

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 10/31/10

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.4-3 - Work Search

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated November 9, 2010, reference 02, which imposed a warning upon a finding that the claimant had failed to make an active work search for the week ending November 6, 2010. After a review of the information in the claimant's appeal letter and Agency benefit payment records, the administrative law judge concludes that no additional testimony is necessary.

## ISSUE;

Should the warning be removed from the claimant's record?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant filed a claim for benefits effective October 31, 2010. The claim was filed late in the week, giving the claimant insufficient time to conduct a full work search.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The question is whether the warning should be removed from the claimant's record.

lowa Code section 96.4-3 requires that claimants make an active work search each week that they request benefits. The agency ordinarily interprets this to require a minimum of two contacts per week. The record in this case, however, establishes that the claim was filed late in the workweek. The claimant did not have a reasonable opportunity to conduct a full work search. The warning shall be removed.

Page 2 Appeal No. 10A-UI-15917-AT

# **DECISION:**

kjw/kjw

The unemployment insurance decision The warning is removed from the claima		9,	2010,	reference	02,	is	reversed
Dan Anderson							
Administrative Law Judge							
Decision Dated and Mailed							