IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

SHANE A KEEGAN

Claimant

APPEAL 17A-UI-00164-DL-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

JIM HAWK TRUCK-TRAILERS INC

Employer

OC: 11/27/16

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) - Able to Work - illness, injury or pregnancy

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) - Availability Disqualifications

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the December 29, 2016, (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon not being able to or available for work. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 27, 2017. Claimant participated with his mother, Shannon Collier. Employer participated through Human Resource Director, Deb Dinsmoor, General Manager, Jeff Baedke, Shop Foreman, Mark Gerlach, and Service Manager, Brad Robinson. Employer's Exhibits 1 and 2 were received.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant able to work and available for work effective November 27, 2016?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant is seeking work as a greeter or host, counter work or paper sorting work. He does not have a high school degree or High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) and learned mechanic duties on the job.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is able to work and available for work effective November 27, 2016.

Iowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially

unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. *Illness, injury or pregnancy.* Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

To be able to work, "[a]n individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood." Sierra v. Employment Appeal Board, 508 N.W.2d 719, 721 (Iowa 1993); Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged, 468 N.W.2d 223 (Iowa 1991); Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1). "An evaluation of an individual's ability to work for the purposes of determining that individual's eligibility for unemployment benefits must necessarily take into consideration the economic and legal forces at work in the general labor market in which the individual resides." Sierra at 723. The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (Iowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that "[i]nsofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." White v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (Iowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (Iowa 1983)).

Since the employment ended on December 1, 2016, claimant is no longer obligated to return to employer upon his medical release to offer his services. At that point, his ability to work is not measured by the job he held most recently, but by standards of his education, training, and work history. Since he is able to perform less strenuous jobs with fewer educational and training requirements than he held in his work history, he is considered able to work even if he cannot yet return to a job as most recently performed for the employer.

DECISION:

The December 29, 2016, (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. The claimant is able to work and available for work effective November 27, 2016. Benefits are allowed, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/rvs