BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

| | : | |
|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| STACY L GREEN | : | |
| | : | HEARING NUMBER: 09B-UI-08940 |
| Claimant, | : | |
| | : | |
| and | : | EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD |
| | : | DECISION |
| PER MAR SECURITY & RESEARCH CORP | : | |
| | | |

Employer.

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-a

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. All members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. A majority of the Appeal Board, one member concurring, finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. With the following modification, the administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is AFFIRMED with the following MODIFICATION:

The Employment Appeal Board would modify the administrative law judge's Reasoning and Conclusions of Law to reflect that the Board would find and conclude that the claimant's repeated failure to call in was insubordination, as opposed to excessive absenteeism.

Elizabeth L. Seiser

AMG/fnv

Monique F. Kuester

CONCURRING OPINION OF JOHN A. PENO:

I agree with my fellow board members that the administrative law judge's decision should be affirmed; however, I would not modify the decision in any manner.

John A. Peno

AMG/fnv