IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

MARCO D SHANKLIN Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-17936-AR-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

QPS EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC

Employer

OC: 04/11/21 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 96.5(1)j – Voluntary Quitting – Temporary Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Marco D. Shanklin, filed an appeal from the August 11, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon the determination that claimant voluntarily quit employment with the employer, QPS Employment Group, Inc., for personal reasons. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 13, 2021. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated through hearing representative and testifying witness, Jessica Segner, with witness Hannah Shoemaker, who did not testify. Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant quit by not reporting for an additional work assignment within three business days of the end of the last assignment?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was assigned to a one-day assignment on December 19, 2020. At the end of the assignment, claimant did not contact the employer to request additional work. He called on December 22, 2020, but only asked about the method of payment. He did not request additional work at that time. The employer called claimant a number of times regarding additional work, but was never able to get through to him. The next contact the employer had with claimant was March 24, 2021.

The employer has a policy indicating that it is the employee's responsibility to contact the employer within three days of the end of an assignment to request additional work. The employer has the policy signed with claimant's name in acknowledgement of receipt of the policy. Claimant disputed whether the signature was his, but acknowledged having received the policy during his onboarding process.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

lowa Code section 96.5(1)j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. (1) The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

(2) To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

(3) For the purposes of this lettered paragraph:

(a) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(b) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of Iowa Code § 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of Iowa Code § 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working. The plain language of the statute allows benefits for a claimant "who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an assignment *and* who seeks reassignment." (emphasis added)

In this case, the employer was aware that the claimant's assignment was only one day in duration, and it knew of the end of the assignment. However, claimant did not request another assignment. Therefore, he is considered to have quit the employment, even though he may have contacted the employer at some later date.

DECISION:

The August 11, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant's separation was not attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

AuDRe

Alexis D. Rowe Administrative Law Judge

October 20, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

ar/kmj