# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

 FRANK J RINCHIUSO
 APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-14008-HT

 Claimant
 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

 CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS CORP
 DECISION

 Employer
 OC: 10/02/11

 Claimant:
 Appellant (1)

Section 96.5(2)a - Discharge

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Frank Rinchiuso, filed an appeal from a decision dated October 21, 2011, reference 01. The decision disqualified him from receiving unemployment benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on November 17, 2011. The claimant participated on his own behalf. The employer, Cargill, did not provide a telephone number where a witness could be contacted and did not participate.

#### **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct sufficient to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Frank Rinchiuso was employed by Cargill from March 14 until October 4, 2011 as a full-time forklift driver working 2:30 p.m. until midnight. He received the employer's attendance policy and was aware an employee who accumulated 14 points was subject to discharge.

The claimant received a written warning September 20, 2011, when he had accumulated nine points. He was having legal and disciplinary problems with his 15-year-old son. After the warning, he missed five more days, also due to problems with his son's legal situation. He was discharged by the human resources representative.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

### 871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The claimant had been advised his job was in jeopardy as a result of his absenteeism. He accumulated 14 attendance points in less than seven months because of personal problems with his son. Matters of purely personal consideration, such as legal problems with a family member, are not considered an excused absence. *Harlan v. IDJS*, 350 N.W.2d 192 (lowa 1984). The claimant was discharged for excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Under the provisions of the above Administrative Code section, this is misconduct for which the claimant is disqualified.

## DECISION:

The representative's decision of October 21, 2011, reference 01, is affirmed. Frank Rinchiuso is disqualified and benefits are withheld until he has earned ten times his weekly benefit amount in insured work, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Bonny G. Hendricksmeyer Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bgh/kjw