# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

RICHARD HOLLAND

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-09859-BT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**DES STAFFING SERVICES INC** 

Employer

Original Claim: 05/31/09 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 - Availability for Work Iowa Code § 96.5-3-a - Refusal of Suitable Work

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Richard Holland (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated July 6, 2009, reference 01, which held that he was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because he refused recall to suitable work with DES Staffing Services, Inc. (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on July 27, 2009. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Amy Potratz, Human Resources Manager, and Shane Sorenson, Division Manager. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant refused a suitable offer of work.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant worked for the employer as a temporary laborer from September 4, 2007 through May 26, 2009. His last assignment was on first shift with Ag Belt. The employer offered the claimant another assignment on May 29, 2009. The claimant refused because he did not have transportation to work second shift, although he continues to have transportation for first shift.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The first issue in this case is whether the claimant is able and available for work.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

## 871 IAC 24.22(2) provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market. Since, under unemployment insurance laws, it is the availability of an individual that is required to be tested, the labor market must be described in terms of the individual. A labor market for an individual means a market for the type of service which the individual offers in the geographical area in which the individual offers the service. Market in that sense does not mean that job vacancies must exist; the purpose of unemployment insurance is to compensate for lack of job vacancies. It means only that the type of services which an individual is offering is generally performed in the geographical area in which the individual is offering the services.

The claimant testified that he is able and available for work on first shift and his testimony is found credible. Another aspect of the able and available issue in this case is whether the claimant unreasonably rejected an offer of suitable work. An individual who refuses recall to suitable work is disqualified from receiving job insurance benefits.

## 871 IAC 24.24(1)a provides:

- (1) Bona fide offer of work.
- a. In deciding whether or not a claimant failed to accept suitable work, or failed to apply for suitable work, it must first be established that a bona fide offer of work was made to the individual by personal contact or that a referral was offered to the claimant by personal contact to an actual job opening and a definite refusal was made by the individual. For purposes of a recall to work, a registered letter shall be deemed to be sufficient as a personal contact.

## 871 IAC 24.24(8) provides:

(8) Refusal disqualification jurisdiction. Both the offer of work or the order to apply for work and the claimant's accompanying refusal must occur within the individual's benefit year, as defined in subrule 24.1(21), before the lowa code subsection 96.5(3) disqualification can be imposed. It is not necessary that the offer, the order, or the refusal occur in a week in which the claimant filed a weekly claim for benefits before the disqualification can be imposed.

Appeal No. 09A-UI-09859-BT

The evidence establishes the employer offered the claimant work on May 29, 2009 but the claimant did not file his unemployment insurance claim until May 31, 2009. Since both the offer of work and the claimant's refusal did not occur within the claimant's individual benefit year, he is not subject to disqualification.

# **DECISION:**

| The une  | employment    | insurance | decision  | dated   | July 6,  | 2009,  | referenc | e 01,  | is reversed.  | The |
|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|--------|---------------|-----|
| claimant | qualifies for | unemployr | ment insu | rance b | enefits, | provid | ed he is | otherw | ise eligible. |     |

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/kjw