IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CURTIS L JENSEN

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-08185-ST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

KRAJICEK INC

Employer

OC: 04/25/10

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer appealed a department decision dated May 26, 2010, reference 01, that held the claimant voluntarily quit with good cause on April 26, 2010, and that allowed benefits. A telephone hearing was held on July 26, 2010. The claimant participated. Paul McCollough, Operations Manager/Safety Director, participated for the employer. Employer Exhibit 1 was received as evidence.

ISSUE:

Whether the employer filed a timely appeal.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having considered the evidence in the record, finds: The department mailed the decision to the employer's address of record on May 26, 2010 and the employer received it. The appeal deadline date is June 5 (Saturday), which is extended to Monday, June 7, by law. The employer faxed the appeal to the department on June 8. The employer delayed its appeal because it believed the claimant had agreed to return to work.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code Section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the

Appeal No. 10A-UI-08185-ST

decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. <u>Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.</u>, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); <u>Johnson v. Board of Adjustment</u>, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Pursuant to rules 871 IAC 26.2(96)(1) and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. Messina v. IDJS, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. IESC, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); Smith v. IESC, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes the employer failed to file a timely appeal.

The reason for the appeal delay is not a good cause. In essence, the employer would not have appealed the claimant's entitlement to benefits from his claim filing date to his return to work in late May, which is a concession he should be allowed the benefits based on the protest issue.

DECISION:

The department decision dated May 26, 2010, reference 01, is affirmed. The employer failed to file a timely appeal. The department decision that the employer voluntarily quit with good cause on April 26, 2010, remains in force and affect. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Randy L. Stephenson	
Administrative Law Judge	
Design Detect and Mailed	
Decision Dated and Mailed	

rls/kjw