# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JAMIE L WEST** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 14A-UI-06175-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**GMT CORPORATION** 

Employer

OC: 05/18/14

Claimant: Appellant (4)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge for Misconduct

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Jamie West (claimant) appealed a representative's June 10, 2014, decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits after his separation from employment with GMT Corporation (employer) on June 14, 2014. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for July 9, 2014. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Kendall Kelly, Human Resources Manager. The employer offered and Exhibit One was received into evidence.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on January 7, 2013, as a full-time computer numeric controlled machinist. The claimant signed for receipt of the employer's handbook on January 3, 2013. On May 20, 2014, the claimant gave the employer his written resignation. He told the employer his last day would be June 19, 2014. The claimant quit work because he was disappointed with his raises.

On May 21, 2014, the claimant properly reported his absence. On May 22, 2014, the claimant worked four hours and left early with permission. On May 23, 2014, the claimant worked for a short time and then the employer terminated the claimant due to business concerns.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer. Prior to his last day of work the employer discharged the claimant but has not proven misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(38) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(38) Where the claimant gave the employer an advance notice of resignation which caused the employer to discharge the claimant prior to the proposed date of resignation, no disqualification shall be imposed from the last day of work until the proposed date of resignation; however, benefits will be denied effective the proposed date of resignation.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. <u>Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service</u>, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The employer has not met its burden of proof to show job-related misconduct. The claimant was terminated after giving notice of his resignation. The claimant is eligible to receive benefits until the date of his resignation, June 19, 2014 or the benefit week ending June 21, 2014.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(13) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(13) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the wages but knew the rate of pay when hired.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by his words and actions. He wrote a resignation letter and gave it to the employer. When an employee quits work because he is dissatisfied with his wages and knew the rate of pay when hired, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work because he wanted a bigger raise even though he knew the hourly wage when he was hired. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied as of June 22, 2014.

### **DECISION:**

The representative's June 10, 2014, decision (reference 01) is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant is qualified to receive benefits, provided he is otherwise eligible until the benefit week ending June 21, 2014, because he was not discharged for misconduct. On June 22, 2014, the claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until he has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/css