

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JACOB A SKIPTON**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-07159-NT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**S & J TUBE INC**  
Employer

**OC: 06/15/08 R: 04**  
**Claimant: Respondent (1)**

Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Protest

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

The employer filed a timely appeal from the July 11, 2008, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits and found the protest untimely. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference on August 21, 2008. The employer did participate through Julie Belger, Human Resource Manager. The claimant participated personally.

**ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the employer's protest is timely.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant's notice of claim was mailed to the employer's address of record on June 25, 2008 and received by the employer within ten days. The notice of claim contains a warning that any protest must be postmarked, faxed or returned not later than ten days from the initial mailing date. The employer did not protest until July 23, 2008, which is after the ten-day period had expired. No good cause reason has been established for the delay.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

Another portion of this same Code section dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states that such an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the Iowa Supreme Court held that this statute prescribing the

time for notice of appeal clearly limits the time to do so, and that compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979).

The administrative law judge considers the reasoning and holding of that court in that decision to be controlling on this portion of that same Iowa Code section which deals with a time limit in which to file a protest after notification of the filing of the claim has been mailed. The employer has not shown any good cause for not complying with the jurisdictional time limit. Therefore, the administrative law judge is without jurisdiction to entertain any appeal regarding the separation from employment.

The administrative law judge concludes that the employer has failed to protest within the time limit prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law. The delay was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to 871 IAC 24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the employer has failed to timely protest pursuant Iowa Code section 96.6-2 and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the claimant's termination of employment. See Beardslee v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979).

**DECISION:**

The July 28, 2008, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The employer has failed to file a timely protest and the decision of the representative shall stand and remain in full force and effect.

---

Terence P. Nice  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css