

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section  
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JULIETA V HERRERA  
1226 W 6<sup>TH</sup> ST  
DAVENPORT IA 52802

KRAFT PIZZA CO  
C/O TALX UC EXPRESS  
PO BOX 283  
ST LOUIS MO 63166 0283

PAUL MACEK  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
111 E 3<sup>RD</sup> ST  
DAVENPORT IA 52801

Appeal Number: 05A-UI-12107-DWT  
OC: 10/23/05 R: 04  
Claimant: Appellant (6)

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal are based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

---

(Administrative Law Judge)

---

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

871 IAC 26.8(1) - Withdrawal of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Julieta V. Herrera (claimant) appealed a representative's November 23, 2005 decision (reference 01) that concluded she was qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits, and the account of Kraft Pizza Company (employer) could be charged for benefits because the claimant's employment separation was for nondisqualifying reasons. A hearing was scheduled for December 14, 2005. At the time of the hearing, the claimant's attorney, Paul Macek, made a request to withdraw the claimant's appeal. Based on the claimant's withdrawal request, the administrative record, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

At the time of the scheduled December 14, 2005 hearing, the claimant made a request to withdraw her appeal.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

871 IAC 26.8(1) provides:

(1) An appeal may be withdrawn at any time prior to the issuance of a decision upon the request of the appellant and with the approval of the presiding officer to whom the case is assigned. Requests for withdrawal may be made in writing or orally, provided the oral request is tape-recorded by the presiding officer.

The claimant's request to withdraw her appeal is approved.

DECISION:

The representative's November 23, 2005 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant's request to withdraw her appeal is approved. This means the claimant remains qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits provided she meets all other eligibility requirements. The employer's account may be charged for benefits paid to the claimant.

dlw/kjw