

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

ART V GARZA
3023 CENTER POINT RD NE
CEDAR RAPIDS IA 52402

CAMBRIDGE TEMPOSITIONS
ATTN MANAGER
610 32ND AVE SW STE A
CEDAR RAPIDS IA 52404

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-01704-SWT
OC 03/12/00 R 03
Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.6-2 - Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated June 19, 2000, reference 09. A telephone hearing was held on March 16, 2004. The claimant participated in the hearing. Crystal Lansing participated on behalf of the employer. Exhibit A-1 was admitted into evidence at the hearing. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision are entered.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

An unemployment insurance decision was mailed to the claimant's last known address of record on June 19, 2000. The decision concluded the claimant was overpaid \$1,002.00 and stated the decision was final unless a written appeal was postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by May 29, 2000.

The claimant did not receive the decision within the ten-day period for appealing the decision because he had moved without providing a forwarding address. He filed a written appeal on February 17, 2004, which is after the time period for appealing had expired. The claimant, however, received actual notice of the decision on February 4, 2004, but delayed in filing his appeal for over ten days after receiving notice that he had been disqualified and overpaid.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue in this case is whether the claimant filed a timely appeal.

Iowa Code Section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

The Iowa Supreme Court has ruled that appeals from unemployment insurance decisions must be filed within the time limit set by statute and the administrative law judge has no authority to review a decision if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979); Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). In this case, the claimant's appeal was filed after the deadline for appealing expired.

The next question is whether the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. IESC, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); Smith v. IESC, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). Although the claimant did not have an opportunity to file an appeal within 10 days after it was mailed to his last address because he did not get the decision, he had an obligation after receiving actual notice of the decision to appeal it in a reasonable period of time. I conclude the claimant took too long to file the appeal. He waited over 10 days after getting the appeal form to file the appeal.

The failure to file a timely appeal was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service, which under 871 IAC 24.35(2) would excuse the delay in filing an appeal. Since the appeal was not filed timely, there is no jurisdiction to make a decision on the merits of the appeal.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated June 19, 2000, reference 09, is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the unemployment insurance decision that the claimant was overpaid \$1,002.00 in benefits remains in effect.

saw/kjf