IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

LOLA J PARRISH Claimant **APPEAL 16A-UI-05578-DL-T**

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 03/06/16

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able and Available Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.2(1)e – Notice to Report Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(11) – Failure to Report

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the May 16, 2016 (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon a failure to report. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled to be held on June 3, 2016. Claimant participated. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record, including fact-finding documents.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant fail to report as directed or offer a good cause reason for failure to do so?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant received the Letter of Inquiry dated April 27, 2016 and returned the completed form with a letter of explanation via fax from the Ottumwa IWD office twice and once again on May 19, 2016. The week-ending April 23, 2016, JBS offered her work on second shift when she had worked first shift prior to having been laid off. She had registered for school at Buena Vista University on March 27, 2016 and started school in the evening on May 9, 2016. Had JBS offered her first shift work she could have returned to work and continued with her education.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant responded to the notice and offered a satisfactory reason for having refused work that week.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.2(1)e provides:

e. In order to maintain continuing eligibility for benefits during any continuous period of unemployment, an individual shall report as directed to do so by an authorized representative of the department. If the individual has moved to another locality, the individual may register and report in person at a workforce development center at the time previously specified for the reporting.

The method of reporting and the payment of benefits, provided the individual is otherwise eligible, shall be on a biweekly basis by mail if the claimant files a Form 60-0151.

The method of reporting shall be weekly if a voice response continued claim is filed, unless otherwise directed by an authorized representative of the department. An individual who files a voice response continued claim will have the benefit payment automatically deposited weekly in the individual's financial institutions account or be paid by the mailing of a warrant on a biweekly basis.

In order for an individual to receive payment by direct deposit, the individual must provide the department with the appropriate bank routing code number and a checking or savings account number.

The department retains the ultimate authority to choose the method of reporting and payment.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(11) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(11) Failure to report as directed to workforce development in response to the notice which was mailed to the claimant will result in the claimant being deemed not to meet the availability requirements.

Since the claimant responded to the notice and offered a satisfactory reason for having refused work that week, benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The	May 1	6, 2016	(refere	nce 05	i) unem	ploymer	nt in	surance	dec	ision is	rev	ersed.	The clai	mant
has	establi	ished th	nat she	did rep	ort and	doffered	d a	satisfact	ory	reason	for	having	refused	work
that	week.	Benefit	ts are al	lowed	effectiv	e May 8	20°	16, provi	ided	she is	othe	erwise e	ligible.	

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/can