IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

GARY R STEININGER

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-06175-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

KRAFT PIZZA CO

Employer

Original Claim: 03/08/09 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the April 15, 2009, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on May 18, 2009. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Rod Warhank.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant was discharged for reasons related to job misconduct sufficient to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant most recently worked full-time as an operations worker and was separated on March 13, 2009. His last day of work was March 10. On March 8 he was playing a practical joke with a coworker. He wrote the words "ass bitch" in the bottom of one of the trays of the Lunchables product. Beyond the inappropriate words, by touching the tray and writing in it he contaminated the tray. He assumed it would be caught further down the line but it was not. He had multiple opportunities to mitigate the damage but let the product continue without interruption. He did not come forward and report the incident when he could not locate the product later. When coworkers heard rumors on the floor, they reported it to management and the entire product had to be repackaged, which took multiple hours. The adulterated tray was never located.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

Claimant's deliberate contamination of the product container as a "practical joke" caused harm to employer's business by extra employee work hours to repackage the product and potential damage to customer relations is evidence of willful misconduct. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The April 15, 2009, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	