

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

GERONIMO DIAZ JUAREZ
Claimant

APPEAL 16A-UI-10943-CL-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

TITAN TIRE CORPORATION
Employer

**OC: 06/19/16
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Iowa Code § 96.5(7) – Receipt of Vacation Pay/PTO

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the September 27, 2016, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that deducted vacation pay/PTO from benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on October 24, 2016. Claimant participated personally and through interpreter 10178 with CTS Language Link. His spouse, Petra Diaz, observed. Employer participated through Joyce Kain. Official notice was taken of the administrative record, including the employer's response to the notice of claim.

ISSUES:

Did the claimant receive vacation pay or PTO at separation?
Is that amount deductible from benefits, and if so, for what period?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was separated on June 10, 2016. The last day of work was June 10, 2016. Claimant was laid off.

Claimant did not file for benefits until the second week of his unemployment. His claim has an effective date of June 19, 2016. Claimant's weekly benefit amount is \$529.00.

After the separation, he received pay for accumulated but unused vacation pay/paid time off (PTO) in the amount of \$513.00. Claimant earned \$16.03 per hour during his employment. Employer requested the vacation pay be applied to the week ending July 9, 2016. Claimant received the vacation pay during the week ending July 9, 2016.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the vacation pay/PTO was not deducted for the correct period.

Iowa Code § 96.5(7) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits: ...

7. Vacation pay.

a. When an employer makes a payment or becomes obligated to make a payment to an individual for vacation pay, or for vacation pay allowance, or as pay in lieu of vacation, such payment or amount shall be deemed "wages" as defined in section 96.19, subsection 41, and shall be applied as provided in paragraph "c" hereof.

b. When, in connection with a separation or layoff of an individual, the individual's employer makes a payment or payments to the individual, or becomes obligated to make a payment to the individual as, or in the nature of, vacation pay, or vacation pay allowance, or as pay in lieu of vacation, and within ten calendar days after notification of the filing of the individual's claim, designates by notice in writing to the department the period to which the payment shall be allocated; provided, that if such designated period is extended by the employer, the individual may again similarly designate an extended period, by giving notice in writing to the department not later than the beginning of the extension of the period, with the same effect as if the period of extension were included in the original designation. The amount of a payment or obligation to make payment, is deemed "wages" as defined in section 96.19, subsection 41, and shall be applied as provided in paragraph "c" of this subsection 7.

c. Of the wages described in paragraph "a" (whether or not the employer has designated the period therein described), or of the wages described in paragraph "b", if the period therein described has been designated by the employer as therein provided, a sum equal to the wages of such individual for a normal workday shall be attributed to, or deemed to be payable to the individual with respect to, the first and each subsequent workday in such period until such amount so paid or owing is exhausted. Any individual receiving or entitled to receive wages as provided herein shall be ineligible for benefits for any week in which the sums, so designated or attributed to such normal workdays, equal or exceed the individual's weekly benefit amount. If the amount so designated or attributed as wages is less than the weekly benefit amount of such individual, the individual's benefits shall be reduced by such amount.

d. Notwithstanding contrary provisions in paragraphs "a", "b", and "c", if an individual is separated from employment and is scheduled to receive vacation payments during the period of unemployment attributable to the employer and if the employer does not designate the vacation period pursuant to paragraph "b", then payments made by the employer to the individual or an obligation to make a payment by the employer to the individual for vacation pay, vacation pay allowance or pay in lieu of vacation shall not be deemed wages as defined in section 96.19, subsection 41, for any period in excess of one week and such payments or the value of such obligations shall not be deducted for any period in excess of one week from the unemployment benefits the individual is otherwise entitled to receive under this chapter. However, if the employer designates more than one week as the vacation period pursuant to paragraph "b", the vacation pay, vacation pay allowance, or pay in lieu of vacation shall be considered wages and shall be deducted from benefits.

e. If an employer pays or is obligated to pay a bonus to an individual at the same time the employer pays or is obligated to pay vacation pay, a vacation pay allowance, or pay in lieu of vacation, the bonus shall not be deemed wages for purposes of determining

benefit eligibility and amount, and the bonus shall not be deducted from unemployment benefits the individual is otherwise entitled to receive under this chapter.

The general policy underlying the deductibility of vacation pay/PTO from benefit eligibility is “that even though one is unemployed during certain weeks, he or she is not entitled to unemployment benefits for weeks if receiving or having received vacation pay therefor.” *Lefebure Corp. v. Iowa Dep’t of Job Serv.*, 341 N.W.2d 768, 771 (Iowa 1983); see also, 14 A.L.R.4th 1175 §2(a) (1982). Thus, “vacation pay” is deductible because it is considered a form of “wage,” which the statute further defines as any “remuneration for personal services . . .” Iowa Code § 96.19(41). The purpose behind all the deductible payment provisions of the unemployment law is to prevent claimants from receiving benefits for any week or portion thereof in which they are also receiving a wage substitute payment from their employer.

In this case, the employer reported that claimant received vacation pay in the amount of \$513.00 after his separation from employment. Although during the hearing employer asserted claimant received a much higher amount of vacation pay, it did not designate this amount on its protest or submit any evidence that it paid claimant a larger amount of vacation pay.

Employer designated the vacation pay to be deducted several weeks subsequent to the end of claimant’s employment. As noted above, the purpose behind the provision is to prevent claimant from receiving unemployment benefits during a week he also received a wage substitute. Here, claimant did not receive a wage substitute for the week ending July 9, 2016. He received a wage substitute for his first week of unemployment—the week ending June 18, 2016. The vacation pay would have been properly deducted from claimant’s first week of unemployment. However, claimant did not claim or receive a benefit payment for that week. The vacation pay was incorrectly deducted from benefits for the week ending July 9, 2016.

DECISION:

The September 27, 2016, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. The vacation pay/PTO was not deducted for the correct period.

Christine A. Louis
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209
Fax (515)478-3528

Decision Dated and Mailed

cal/