IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MICHAEL L HEFNER

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 18A-UI-03712-JTT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION

Employer

OC: 02/25/18

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code Section 96.5(2)(a) - Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Michael Hefner filed a timely appeal from the March 20, 2018, reference 01, decision that disqualified him for benefits and that relieved the employer's account of liability for benefits, based on the Benefits Bureau deputy's conclusion that Mr. Hefner was discharged on February 23, 2018 for excessive unexcused absences. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on April 17, 2018. Mr. Hefner participated personally and was represented by his mother, Debra Duarte. Both Mr. Hefner and Ms. Duarte testified. The employer received appropriate notice of the hearing, acknowledged the hearing date and time, but did not comply with the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number for the hearing and did not participate.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct in connection with the employment that disgualifies him for unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Michael Hefner was employed by Whirlpool Corporation as a full-time assembler from August 2017 until February 23, 2018, when a human resources representative notified him that he was being discharged for attendance. Mr. Hefner's work hours were 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. Mr. Hefner's immediate supervisor was Amy Stevens, Line 4 Supervisor. The final absence that triggered the discharge occurred on Friday, February 16, 2018, when Mr. Hefner was absent either to take his fiancé to the hospital or to help his mother move from a home where she had been subjected to domestic abuse. On February 15, 2018, Mr. Hefner asked Ms. Stevens for permission to take the following day off as a vacation day. Ms. Stevens granted the request. A week after the absence date, the human resources representative summoned Mr. Hefner to a meeting and discharged him from the employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The employer has the burden of proof in this matter. See Iowa Code section 96.6(2). Misconduct must be substantial in order to justify a denial of unemployment benefits. Misconduct serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits. See Lee v. Employment Appeal Board, 616 N.W.2d 661 (Iowa 2000). The focus is on deliberate, intentional, or culpable acts by the employee. See Gimbel v. Employment Appeal Board, 489 N.W.2d 36, 39 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of the current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act(s). The termination of employment must be based on a current act. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.32(8). In determining whether the conduct that prompted the discharge constituted a "current act," the administrative law judge considers the date on which the conduct came to the attention of the employer and the date on which the employer notified the claimant that the conduct subjected the claimant to possible discharge. See also *Greene v. EAB*, 426 N.W.2d 659, 662 (Iowa App. 1988).

Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.32(4).

In order for a claimant's absences to constitute misconduct that would disqualify the claimant from receiving unemployment insurance benefits, the evidence must establish that the claimant's *unexcused* absences were excessive. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.32(7). The determination of whether absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires

consideration of past acts and warnings. However, the evidence must first establish that the most recent absence that prompted the decision to discharge the employee was unexcused. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.32(8). Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation and oversleeping are considered unexcused. On the other hand, absences related to illness are considered excused, provided the employee has complied with the employer's policy regarding notifying the employer of the absence. Tardiness is a form of absence. See *Higgins v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 350 N.W.2d 187 (Iowa 1984). Employers may not graft on additional requirements to what is an excused absence under the law. See *Gaborit v. Employment Appeal Board*, 743 N.W.2d 554 (Iowa Ct. App. 2007). For example, an employee's failure to provide a doctor's note in connection with an absence that was due to illness properly reported to the employer will not alter the fact that such an illness would be an excused absence under the law. *Gaborit*, 743 N.W.2d at 557.

The employer did not participate in the hearing and did not present any evidence to meet its burden of proving that Mr. Hefner's discharge was based on misconduct in connection with the employment. The evidence in the record does not establish misconduct. The evidence establishes a February 23, 2018 discharge that was triggered by a February 16, 2018 absence. The evidence in the record establishes that the absence was approved in advance by the supervisor as an acceptable use of an earned vacation day. Based on the evidence in the record and application of the appropriate law, the administrative law judge concludes that Mr. Hefner was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Accordingly, Mr. Hefner is eligible for benefits, provided he is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be charged for benefits.

DECISION:

The March 20, 2018, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged on February 23, 2018 for no disqualifying reason. The claimant is eligible for benefits, provided he is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be charged.

James E. Timberland Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
jet/rvs	