# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
MAEGON A VAN ARSDALE Claimant	APPEAL NO: 06A-UI-08867-DT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
TYSON FRESH MEATS INC Employer	
	OC: 08/13/06 R: 03 Claimant: Appellant (1)

### Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Maegon A. Van Arsdale (claimant) appealed a representative's August 31, 2006 decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment from Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on September 20, 2006. The claimant participated in the hearing. Jerome Rinken appeared on the employer's behalf. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

### **ISSUE:**

Did the claimant voluntarily quit for a good cause attributable to the employer?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant started working for the employer on November 22, 2005. She worked full time as a laborer on the day shift in the employer's Waterloo, Iowa, pork slaughter and processing facility. Her last day of work was August 8, 2006. She voluntarily quit on August 14, 2006. Her reason for quitting was to return to school in order to pursue training in another occupation.

The claimant had talked with her supervisor about a month prior inquiring about whether she could go to part-time status while she went to school. However, the employer advised her that the only part-time positions available were on the evening shift. The claimant could not work on the evening shift due to family responsibilities. Therefore, she determined she had no choice but to quit her employment.

# REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

If the claimant voluntarily quit her employment, she is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits unless it was for good cause attributable to the employer.

lowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25 provides that, in general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship. <u>Bartelt v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 494 N.W.2d 684 (Iowa 1993). The claimant did express or exhibit the intent to cease working for the employer and did act to carry it out. The claimant would be disqualified for unemployment insurance benefits unless she voluntarily quit for good cause.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify her. Iowa Code § 96.6-2.

871 IAC 24.25(26) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(26) The claimant left to go to school.

The employer is not compelled to make part-time work available so that a previously full-time employee can attend school. While leaving employment to pursue further education and another occupation are good personal reasons for leaving, it is not a cause attributable to the employer. The claimant has not satisfied her burden. Benefits are denied.

#### DECISION:

The representative's August 31, 2006 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. As of August 14, 2006, benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Lynette A. F. Donner Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed