IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

BARBARA A BUCKINGHAM-GRAY

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 22A-UI-04558-B2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

LGC ASSOCIATES LLC

Employer

OC: 07/04/21

Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer appealed the representative's decision dated January 31, 2022, reference 02, that concluded it failed to file a timely protest regarding the claimant's separation of employment on July 4, 2021, and no disqualification of unemployment insurance benefits was imposed. A hearing was scheduled and held on March 25, 2022, pursuant to due notice. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated by Miranda Schipporite. Employer's Exhibits 1-3 were admitted into evidence.

ISSUES:

Whether the employer's protest is timely?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant's notice of claim was mailed to the employer's address of record on July 7, 2021, and received by the employer within ten days. The notice of claim contains a warning that any protest must be postmarked or returned not later than ten days from the initial mailing date. The employer did not effect a protest until July 20, 2021, which is after the ten-day period had expired.

Further examination into exhibits forwards by employer's 3d party unemployment insurance carrier indicates that employer did fax in its Protest on July 19, 2021, the date that the Protest was due.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

A portion of the Iowa Code section dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states that such an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the Iowa Supreme Court held that this statute prescribing the time for notice of appeal clearly limits the time to do so, and that compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979).

The administrative law judge considers the reasoning and holding of that court in that decision to be controlling on this portion of that same lowa Code section which deals with a time limit in which to file a protest after notification of the filing of the claim has been mailed. The employer has shown that they did comply with the jurisdictional time limit.

The administrative law judge concludes the employer effected a timely protest within the time period prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law. The administrative law judge further concludes that the employer has effected a timely protest pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6-2, and the administrative law judge retains jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the claimant's termination of employment. See *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979); *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979) and *Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company v. Employment Appeal Board*, 465 N.W.2d 674 (Iowa App. 1990).

This matter will be remanded to the fact finder for a determination of the separation issue.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated January 31, 2022, reference 02, is reversed. The employer has filed a timely protest, and the decision of the representative is reversed.

This matter will be remanded to the fact finder for a determination of the separation issue.

Blair A. Bennett

Administrative Law Judge

<u> April 11, 2022</u>

Decision Dated and Mailed

bab/mh