IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

EMMANUEL M KING

Claimant

APPEAL 23A-UI-10449-DB-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

REM-IOWA INC

Employer

OC: 09/24/23

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Overpayment of Benefits Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer Participation in Fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer/appellant filed an appeal from the October 26, 2023 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed unemployment insurance benefits to the claimant based upon a discharge from work. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 28, 2023. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated through witness Staci Siddell and was represented by Anthony Scott. The administrative law judge took administrative notice of the claimant's unemployment insurance benefits records, including the fact-finding interview notes and documentation.

ISSUES:

Was the claimant's separation from employment disqualifying? Was the claimant overpaid benefits? Can the repayment of any benefits to the agency be waived? Can any charges to the employer's account be waived?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time direct support professional and certified medication aide. He worked with eight residents who resided in a residential home, and he provided them with daily care needs and medication. He was discharged by the employer on September 20, 2023.

The employer has a written policy that states absenteeism may lead to discharge from employment. Claimant was aware of this policy. Claimant received a written warning regarding his tardiness on November 25, 2019.

Claimant was scheduled to work on September 1, 2023, into September 2, 2023, for an overnight shift. On September 1, 2023, his shift as medication aide was from 8:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., or when he was finished with passing medication. He then was to begin his overnight shift as direct support professional at 10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. The overnight shift required that he be awake and ready to assist the residents if needed. He was not allowed to leave the residents

alone as they needed care 24 hours a day, 7 days per week. If an emergency occurred where the claimant needed to leave the home, he was to contact the first on-call supervisor or the second on-call supervisor. Claimant knew that he was not to leave the residents alone and that he needed to contact the on-call supervisors prior to leaving his shift early.

Two other co-workers, Ivory and Nathan, were working with the claimant when he started his shift at 8:00 p.m. on September 1, 2023. Ivory left her shift at 9:27 p.m. and Nathan left his shift at 10:08 p.m. By 10:08 p.m., claimant was alone with the residents. Claimant left to go to his home, which was located approximately three blocks away. Claimant fell asleep at home and did not return until after 6:00 a.m. when his shift was complete, as his sister woke him up at home. The employer learned that the claimant was not at work during his shift when another co-worker arrived for her shift at approximately 6:15 a.m. and no staff was on site. She reported this to her immediate supervisor.

The employer suspended the claimant on September 4, 2023, pending an investigation into the matter. Claimant had reported that Nathan was present when he left the home and that he left around 8:50 p.m. or so to go to his own residence. The medication logs claimant created show that he logged medication administration at 9:11 p.m. The employer interviewed both coworkers who worked the shift prior to the claimant, Ivory and Nathan, and they both stated that the claimant was there when they ended their shifts. The employer reviewed the timecards for both Ivory and Nathan, the motion sensors on the doors, and took a statement from the claimant. Nathan told the employer that he handed the house keys to the claimant when he left his shift that night, which occurred around 10:08 p.m. The motion detector log showed motion between 12:30 a.m. and 1:00 a.m.; however, nothing else until the other co-workers arrived on site around 6:15 a.m. The employer discharged the claimant for violation of its policy to ensure that the residents had continuous care.

Claimant's administrative record establishes that he filed his claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective September 24, 2023. He has received unemployment insurance benefits of \$5,238.00 for the nine weeks from September 24, 2023, through November 25, 2023. The employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview via telephone but did participate in writing. The employer provided lowa Workforce Development with a statement that the claimant left his shift in violation of the employer's policy and provided the policy.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a & d provide in pertinent part:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising

out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of the standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligation to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following: ...

(2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer....

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job-related misconduct.¹ In unemployment insurance benefits cases, the issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits.² What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions.³ Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits.⁴ Such misconduct must be "substantial."⁵

Iowa Admin. Code r.871-24.32(8) provides:

(8) Past acts of misconduct. While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of a current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act or acts. The termination of employment must be based on a current act.

Claimant knew that he could not leave the residents of the home alone; however, he did so in violation of the employer's rule. His testimony that he left while another co-worker was still in the home is not credible. Claimant had also received previous warnings for absenteeism in the past. While tardiness is distinguishable from leaving the premises; claimant was aware that leaving the clients by themselves could result in discharge from employment. Claimant's behavior is substantial and rises to the level of job-related misconduct. The separation from employment is disqualifying and benefits are denied.

The next issue is whether the claimant is overpaid benefits and whether or not the employer is relieved of charges due to its participation in the fact-finding interview.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7)a-b provide:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

¹ Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982).

² Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

³ Pierce v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 425 N.W.2d 679 (Iowa Ct. App. 1988).

⁴ Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984).

⁵ Id.

- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers. If the department determines that an employer's failure to respond timely or adequately was due to insufficient notification from the department, the employer's account shall not be charged for the overpayment.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and

information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement lowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 lowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid after the separation from employment which he was not entitled to. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for those benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits if it is determined that they did participate in the fact-finding interview.⁶

In this case, the employer sufficiently participated in the fact-finding interview in writing by providing a summary of the final incident and a copy of the policy that the employee violated. Therefore, the employer's account may not be charged for benefits and the claimant is required to repay the unemployment insurance benefits he received following the disqualifying separation from employment.

⁶ Iowa Code § 96.3(7).

DECISION:

The October 26, 2023 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Claimant was discharged from employment on September 20, 2023, for substantial job-related misconduct. Unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of lowa are denied until the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount after the September 20, 2023, separation date, and provided he is otherwise eligible.

The claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits of \$5,238.00 and those benefits shall be repaid to Iowa Workforce Development. The employer substantially participated in the fact-finding interview and its account shall not be subject to charges.

Dawn Boucher

Administrative Law Judge

Jaun Boucher

November 30, 2023

Decision Dated and Mailed

db/scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, IA 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, IA 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.