BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

DARLEEN D MILLER	: : : HEARING NUMBE	R: 11B-UI-09347
Claimant,	:	
and	EMPLOYMENT A	
HY-VEE INC	: DECIS	ION

Employer.

ΝΟΤΙΟΕ

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-A

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member concurring, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

John A. Peno

Monique F. Kuester

Elizabeth L. Seiser

CONCURRING OPINION OF ELIZABETH L. SEISER:

I agree with my fellow board members that the administrative law judge's decision should be affirmed; however, I would comment that this case could also be analyzed as a voluntary quit due to a change in the claimant's contract of hire.

871 IAC 24.26(1) provides:

The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifying issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health, or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine of the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

When the employer changed the dress code by requiring employees to wear dark-colored work or dress shoes, the claimant had difficulty complying due to her long-standing foot injury, which she previously accommodated with a white athletic shoe with arch supports.

Elizabeth L. Seiser

AMG/kk