IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
RENEE M LAMPLOT Claimant	APPEAL NO: 12A-UI-07597-DT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
K & L LANDSCAPE & CONSTRUCTION INC Employer	
	OC: 05/13/12
	Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Renee M. Lamplot (claimant) appealed a representative's June 18, 2012 decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment with K & L Landscape & Construction, Inc. (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on August 13, 2012. The claimant participated in the hearing and presented testimony from two other witnesses, Chris Price and Pat Maguire. Jenna Wilson appeared on the employer's behalf and presented testimony from three other witnesses, Patty Kotter, Fred VanNess, and Linda Alexander. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit for a good cause attributable to the employer?

OUTCOME:

Affirmed. Benefits denied.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant started working for the employer on July 5, 2011. She worked full time in accounting and payroll. Her last day of work was December 15, 2011. She voluntarily quit on December 16, 2011. Notably, the claimant's then-fiancé quit his job with the company on December 15.

The claimant had previously notified the employer in early November 2011 that she would be quitting before the end of the year; at that time she indicated that her reason for quitting was that she was going to be working on her own. After the claimant informed the employer on December 16 that she was quitting effective immediately, the employer requested that the claimant complete an exit interview form. The claimant did so, and the employer received the form on December 19. On the form the claimant indicated that the reason for leaving was due

to concerns for her health caused by stress. She asserted that she had been mistreated and falsely accused. The employer responded by asking for further information and detail, but the claimant did not further respond.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

If the claimant voluntarily quit her employment, she is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits unless it was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.5-1. Rule 871 IAC 24.25 provides that, in general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship and an action to carry out that intent. *Bartelt v. Employment Appeal Board*, 494 N.W.2d 684 (Iowa 1993); *Wills v. Employment Appeal Board*, 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). The claimant did express or exhibit the intent to cease working for the employer and did act to carry it out. The claimant would be disqualified for unemployment insurance benefits unless she voluntarily quit for good cause.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify her. Iowa Code § 96.6-2. Leaving because of unlawful, intolerable, or detrimental working conditions would be good cause. 871 IAC 24.26(3), (4). Leaving because of a dissatisfaction with the work environment or a personality conflict with a coworker or supervisor is not good cause. 871 IAC 24.25(6),(21),(22). The claimant has not provided sufficient evidence to conclude that a reasonable person would find the employer's work environment detrimental or intolerable. *O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Board*, 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993); *Uniweld Products v. Industrial Relations Commission*, 277 So.2d 827 (FL App. 1973). Rather, her complaints do not surpass the ordinary tribulations of the workplace. Quitting to enter self-employment is also not good cause for quitting. 871 IAC 24.25(19).

Under some circumstances, a quit for medical or health reasons is attributable to the employer. lowa Code § 96.5-1. Where factors and circumstances directly connected with the employment caused or aggravated an employee's illness, injury, allergy, or disease can be good cause for quitting attributable to the employer. 871 IAC 24.26(6)b. However, in order for this good cause to be found, prior to quitting the employee must present competent evidence showing adequate health reasons to justify ending the employment, and before quitting must have informed the employer of the work-related health problem and inform the employer that the employee intends to quit unless the problem is corrected or the employee is reasonably accommodated. 871 IAC 24.26(6)b.

The claimant has not presented competent evidence showing adequate health reasons to justify her quitting. Further, before quitting she did not inform the employer of the work-related health problem and inform the employer that she intended to quit unless the problem was corrected or reasonably accommodated. Accordingly, the separation is without good cause attributable to the employer and benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The representative's June 18, 2012 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. As of December 16, 2011, benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Lynette A. F. Donner Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

ld/css